RICHLAND COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 March 4, 2024 2 3 [Members Present: Christopher Yonke, Frederick Johnson II, Mark Duffy, John Metts, 4 Charles Durant, Terrence Taylor, Chris Siercks, Bryan Grady; Absent: Beverly Frierson] 5 6 Called to order: 6:04pm 7 8 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Staff, are you ready? 9 MR. PRICE: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN YONKE: I'd like to call to order the March 4th, 2024 Richland County 11 Planning Commission meeting. Staff, please confirm the following, in accordance with 12 the Freedom of Information Act a copy of the Agenda was sent to the news media, 13 persons requesting notification and posted on the bulletin board located in the County 14 administration building, is that correct? 15 MR. PRICE: That is correct. 16 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you. Staff, can you please take attendance for 17 today's meeting? 18 MR. PRICE: Alright, and it's for the March 4th, 2024 Richland County Planning 19 Commission. Yonke? 20 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Here. 21 MR. PRICE: Frierson? Johnson? 22 MR. JOHNSON: Present. 23 MR. PRICE: Duffy? 24 MR. DUFFY: Here. 25 MR. PRICE: Metts? 26 MR. METTS: Here. 27

MR. PRICE: Durant?

MR. DURANT: Here.

MR. PRICE: Taylor?

MS. TAYLOR: Here.

MR. PRICE: Siercks?

MR. SIERCKS: Here.

MR. PRICE: Grady?

MR. GRADY: Here.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. And we wish Ms. Frierson well with travels. She did reach out to me. Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the March 4th, 2024 Richland County Planning Commission meeting. As a Planning Commission, we are concerned residents of Richland County who volunteer our time to thoroughly review and make recommendations to County Council. Our recommendations are to approve or deny Zoning Map Amendment requests. Per Title VI Chapter 29 of the *SC Code of Laws* Planning Commission may also prepare and revise plans and programs for the development or redevelopment of unincorporated portions of the county. The County's Land Development Code rewrite process conducted last year is an example of this. Once again, we are a recommending body to County Council and they will conduct their own public hearing and take official votes to approve or deny map amendments and text amendments on a future date to be published by the county. Council typically holds Zoning Public Hearings on the fourth Tuesday of the month. Is that still true?

MR. PRICE: That's typically the meeting date.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Great. Please check the county's website for updated 1 agendas, dates and times. Please take note of the following guidelines for today's 2 3 meeting. Please turn off or silence cellphones. Audience members may quietly come and go as needed. Applicants are allowed up to two minutes to make statements. 4 5 Citizens signed up to speak are allowed up to two minutes each. Redundant comments 6 should be minimized. Please only address remarks to the Commission and do not expect the Commission to respond to questions from the speaker in a back and forth 7 style, that is not the purpose of this meeting. Please no audience/speaker exchanges. 8 9 No audience demonstrations or other disruptions to the meeting are permitted nor are 10 comments from anyone other than the speaker at the podium. Please remember the meeting is being recorded so please speak into the microphone, give your name and 11 address. Abusive language is inappropriate and will not be tolerated. Please don't voice 12 displeasure or frustration at a recommendation while the Planning Commission is still 13 14 conducting business. If you have any questions or concerns you may contact Richland County Planning Staff. We will now move on to number 3 on our Agenda which is 15 Additions or Deletions to the Agenda. Are there any motions, Commissioners, for 16 17 additions or deletions from the Agenda? Let's also deal with any motions to amend the Agenda. Hearing none we can move on to number 4 on our Agenda today which is the 18 19 approval of Minutes from the prior meetings. The Staff provided the Commission with 20 copies of the transcript of the Commission's January 5th, 2024 meeting. Do any 21 Commissioner Members have any comments or concerns regarding these transcripts? 22 Hearing none the Chair makes a motion to approve the Minutes unless there's an

23

objection? Do I have a second?

```
MR. DURANT: Second.
1
           CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second, thank you Commissioner Durant. Staff, please
2
     take a vote.
3
           MR. PRICE: Alright, those in favor of the approval of the Minutes from February
4
     5th, 2024 Minutes of the Planning Commission, Yonke?
5
           CHAIRMAN YONKE: Aye.
6
           MR. PRICE: Johnson?
7
           MR. JOHNSON: Aye.
8
9
           MR. PRICE: Duffy?
           MR. DUFFY: Aye.
10
           MR. PRICE: Metts?
11
           MR. METTS: Aye.
12
           MR. PRICE: Durant?
13
14
           MR. DURANT: Aye.
           MR. PRICE: Taylor?
15
           MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
16
           MR. PRICE: Siercks?
17
           MR. SIERCKS: Aye.
18
           MR. PRICE: Grady?
19
20
           MR. GRADY: Aye.
           MR. PRICE: Motion passes.
21
     [Approved: Yonke, Johnson, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady; Absent:
22
23
     Frierson]
```

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. That moves us to item number 5 on our Agenda, the Consent Agenda. The Consent Agenda is an action item that allows this Commission to approve road names and map amendment requests where the Staff recommends approval and either no one from the public has signed up to speak against the amendment of no Member of the Commission is in need of further discussion on the request. To start with, Commissioner Grady do we have any cases on our Agenda today where no one has signed up to speak?

MR. GRADY: We do not.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay. Fellow Commissioners, would anyone like to engage in discussion on any of the Map Amendments that are still on the Consent Agenda but I see that we have people signed up to speak so that is moot. The Chair makes a motion then for the Consent Agenda to approve Road Names, item 5a, and leaving off item b which was deferred, Road Renaming, and excluding the Map Amendments 1 which is deferred, 2 which we have people signed up to speak, and 3 which has a recommendation of disapproval. So our Consent Agenda today, motion made by the Chair would just be items 5 a. Do we have a second?

MR. GRADY: Second.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Got a second from Mr. Grady just approving Road Names in other words. Staff, can you please take a vote?

MR. PRICE: Alright, those in favor of the approval of the Road Names, Yonke?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Aye.

MR. PRICE: Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Aye.

MR. PRICE: Duffy? 1 MR. DUFFY: Aye. 2 MR. PRICE: Metts? 3 MR. METTS: Aye. 4 MR. PRICE: Durant? 5 6 MR. DURANT: Aye. MR. PRICE: Taylor? 7 MR. TAYLOR: Aye. 8 MR. PRICE: Siercks? 9 MR. SIERCKS: Aye. 10 MR. PRICE: Grady? 11 MR. GRADY: Aye. 12 MR. PRICE: Motion passes. 13 [Approved: Yonke, Johnson, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady; Absent: 14 Frierson] 15 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay thank you, Staff. This now moves us to our Map 16 17 Amendments for today which would be item 5c, with number 1 being deferred we'll move on to number 2. Staff, please explain. 18 19 **CASE NO. 24-001 MA**: 20 MR. PRICE: Just would also like to make a note, this our actual first meeting that's fully under our new Land Development Code. First item is Case 24-001 MA. The 21 Applicant is Carl Kaiser. The location is on Wilson Boulevard. The Applicant is 22 23 requesting to rezone 66.43 acres from agricultural district, AG, to light industrial, LI.

1	Staff recommends approval of this request as the request complies with the intentions
2	of the Comprehensive Plan. This site is located within the economic development
3	corridor and thus the requested zoning is supported by the Comprehensive Plan. In
4	addition the requested zoning district supports the desired development pattern as it is
5	located along a major road and just north of an interstate interchange. For these
6	reasons Staff recommends approval.
7	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. Commissioners, do we have any
8	questions for Staff?
9	MR. DURANT: Mr. Chair?
10	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Durant?
11	MR. DURANT: Mr. Price [inaudible].
12	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Durant, please speak into your microphone.
13	MR. DURANT: Thank you, I'm sorry. Mr. Price, I see the property in question
14	bumps against properties that comes under the City of Columbia, correct?
15	MR. PRICE: That is correct.
16	MR. DURANT: Do we know what the nature of those properties in the City of
17	Columbia are?
18	MR. PRICE: I believe that's the mental health, I think formerly Craft Farrow site
19	which would more than likely be designated as institutional.
20	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. Any other questions for the Staff? Alright,
21	if none, Commissioner Grady do we have anyone signed up to speak, do we have an
22	Applicant?
23	MR. GRADY: Yes, the Applicant Carl Kaiser is signed up to speak.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Mr. Kaiser, please come down and give us your name and your address and you have two minutes.

TESTIMONY OF MARK WILSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. WILSON: I'm not Carl Kaiser but I'm Mark Wilson, I'm with Scanell Properties who's the developer representing Carl Kaiser this evening. We're also the developer who's proposing the rezone of the property. As I mentioned I'm with Scanell Properties, we're an industrial development group who does class A industrial development all across the country. We've been doing industrial development for over 30 years and actually have done products in the Greater Columbia area. In the past couple years we developed a 247,000 square foot class A industrial building just north of the airport off of Colite Drive and Platt Springs Road if anyone's familiar with the area. But tonight we're here to address our application to rezone the property from rural to light industrial, and the purpose of that is for new class A industrial development very similar to what we've already developed in the Greater Columbia area. Just wanna keep it short and sweet but wanna note that we did attend a town hall meeting led by Councilwoman Gretchen Barron on November 30th. We were very thankful to be there and we're thankful for the opportunity to speak to the general public and answer any questions. Thankful for everyone in attendance. You know, we thought the outcome of that meeting was favorable and we're glad to be here tonight so, you know, wanted to keep it short and sweet tonight but I'm here to answer any questions and appreciate your time and consideration.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thanks for coming out.

Charles?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Please give us your name and address.

MR. GRADY: Alright, you'll have to forgive me I can't make out the last name but

TESTIMONY OF CHARLES GARY:

MR. GARRETT: Good evening, my name is Charles Gary, 12 [Inaudible] Court, Columbia, South Carolina 29203. Good evening Mr. Chair and Members of the Commission. My name is Charles Gary, a longtime resident of North Columbia. In Richland County Strategic Planning Workshop Summary Report, page 28, it was stated, and I quote, "Engage in two-way communication which [inaudible] growth in each county district. Conversation should include the explanation of the roles, Staff officials, those metrics to be used, implementation, proper adherence to the Land Development Codes. November 30th town hall meeting, today is the first time that I heard of that particular meeting and understand that some of my colleagues it's the first time they've heard of that meeting when that conversation should've taken place, and if you refer to your page 28 in your Strategic Planning Workshop Summary Report. My concern is what type of industry would be allowed under the requested zoning change. It's been my understanding that for many years that Fairfield Road, 321, Monticello Road corridors was basically designed as industrial manufacturing corridor with the Wilson Boulevard as a residential corridor. Why is there a change in this particular strategy that was used some years ago? And as you can see on your planning map this is a, this property sits dead in the center of the City of Columbia. What are the plans for the City of Columbia? Although the state owns that property has any conversation been engaged with the City and the State to see what they plan to do with all this mass of

1 | land that encompasses this property? Has the wetlands on the property been identified,

2 because I know there's some wetlands on the property cause some years ago we

looked at this property for Richland District 1. Is there any sewerage available to the

property, have they brought in necessary sewer lines? The storm drainage. What traffic

impact would it have? You have school buses -

CHAIRMAN YONKE: That's your time sir, thank you so much.

MR. GARY: Alright, thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Appreciate you.

MR. GRADY: Next signed up to speak, Barbara Roche.

TESTIMONY OF BARBARA ROCHE:

MS. ROCHE: Good evening. I'm Barbara Roche and I live at 204 Penrose Drive in the Meadow Lakes subdivision. I have lived there since 1976. I have a daughter who has a grandson so I've been there a long time and I've been a teacher and so forth in the district. And we've always had a pleasant atmosphere on Wilson Boulevard, family type, we've had the Recreation Commission there, we've had things for our children to use, and we have the new Keenan High School – well it's a little older now, but we have those schools on that corridor. We are not a corridor where you go up and down with 18-wheelers and these large cars and so forth. It's really a family oriented corridor. The buses that carry the students on that section have a place there where we have buses that come and take students on that side of town. And just like Charles said, Meadow Lake, I mean, 21 was designed to be a residential type corridor. Fairfield and Monticello were designed to be a little different. We don't want more trucks and more things coming into the company taking away from the atmosphere of one, neighborhoods, the

school, the high school. You know, it's just a lot that our children have really benefited from from being in a community like that and we don't want our grandchildren who are coming up behind us to have to be into a busy type atmosphere. No problems with something that would be something that's family oriented but if you're gonna have industrial and so forth you're gonna have to have a larger cars, you're gonna have a lotta in and out of materials, and that would not add to the atmosphere for our children, and for me as a retired teacher. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you.

MR. GRADY: Next up, Frederick Hill?

TESTIMONY OF FREDERICK HILL:

MR. HILL: Good evening. My name is Frederick Hill and I'm a resident of Wilson Boulevard, Hastings Point neighborhood. Basically, I won't take up too much of your time, I'm just trying to be here to see what the plans are, what they consist of and what, when and why. I'm just like this young lady here, I just moved into the neighborhood and I moved in the neighborhood because of the atmosphere it, it's a family oriented neighborhood, not a lotta traffic, not a lotta trucks, bus systems come through for the children. It's pretty safe. We don't have a lotta big trucks coming through there creating a lotta unwanted havoc that we see from time to time when they do come through there. I'm not here to cause any kinda ruckus about that but I just wanna make sure everybody knows that when we bought this house and this property we bought it because of the atmosphere of the neighborhood. It's quiet, very safe, everybody gets along from where we are, everything flows pretty evenly up and down that road, we kinda know what's going on all the time, so I think when you bring in trucks and a big company like that

you're asking for a lotta problems with that. You gotta do the streets, re-do the streets, 1 2 put stoplights in and all this and that and so forth and all these things, so I understand 3 all that. I'm just here to voice my opinion that this needs to be looked at further than just someone buying some property and just throwing up what they want out here on this 4 property because it's affecting everything around it, the houses, the property value, all 5 6 of that. It's gonna affect all of that. So I'd like for you all to take that in consideration. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir. 8 MR. GRADY: Alright last person on the list here, Timothy Webb? 9 **TESTIMONY OF TIMOTY WEBB:** 10 MR. WEBB: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman. Hey, I can kinda caveat what 11 everybody else has already said. 12 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Can you state your name and address? Thank you. 13

MR. WEBB: Timothy Lee Webb at 352 Garvin Circle in Hastings Point. I can kinda caveat off of what everybody else said. It is a family orientated neighborhood. I think bringing industry down that road would be a little bit too much for us on that side of town. So I'd like to, you know, kinda keep it the way it is if we can. But like I said, hey I think everybody covered what we need to talk about and I just hope y'all consider that. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay, thank you for coming out tonight.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. GRADY: Those are all the people who we have signed up on the sheet.

our address before but it's 294 Grove Lane East in Wayzata Minnesota. Thank you for

all the comments and everyone who came out tonight, we appreciate it and, you know,

22

23

we hear a lotta the same comments that were addressed at the November 30th town hall meeting which were appreciated and, you know, the development that we're proposing is a speculative development at this point and so again it would be a class A industrial development. So what we typically see in these types of speculative developments are buildings anywhere in the range from likely 150 to 250,000 square feet. The buildings typically have an office component to them so they're very good looking buildings. Offices can range anywhere from, you know, 500 feet to 10,000 square feet. The tricky part about being at this stage of the process is in the [inaudible] of development we don't necessarily know who the end user in the building will be, so as much as I wanna, you know, stand in front of you here today and tell you exactly what you can expect to go at this, in this development, we really just can't due to the speculative nature of the project at this time. And so I will say the types of users that go into these buildings are typically either light manufacturing or distribution uses and so I'm not denying that there may be increased truck traffic which I know is a major concern of the public and the folks here tonight, which we completely understand. But I also wanna remind everyone that we go through all of the necessary due diligence on the site prior to actually developing the site so we consider many different things in terms, you know, to name a few, wetlands surveys, you know, topography surveys, traffic studies and also work with professional engineers, city staff, SCDOT, to make sure that this development is compliant with all local and state laws, and is also a good fit for the community because if it doesn't fit the community and the area it won't be mutually beneficial for anyone. But we've strategically identified the site because we believe that it has a lot of potential for this type of development. We wanna point out

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that the future land use map of Richland County does guide it for this type of use, of

light industrial product, and we believe that, you know, there's a lot of opportunity for

this site, so. Again I apologize I can't really speculate any more than that on the types of

users that will be in this development, but I hope that gives you a general understanding

of what you could expect.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir. And with the Planning Commission we're charged with looking at just the zoning. Mr. Price, would you explain that again?

MR. PRICE: Yes. Each zoning designation carries a multitude of uses, some outright permitted, some by special exception, some by special requirement. So normally when a property is rezoned it is open to any of those uses that the applicant desires to place on that property. So one of the things that we don't typically do is ask the applicant to be specific as to what it is you're gonna place on the property. You know, sometimes maybe for residential you can kinda narrow that down but normally once you get to commercial or institutional or even industrial there's such a number of uses that, you know, them stating exactly what it is they will do doesn't necessarily mean that is what's going to happen on the property. So normally what we ask is that the Planning Commission in making your determination you just base it on the appropriateness of that zoning designation and that location as it relates to both the Comprehensive Plan, the character of the area and any other appropriate ways you would like to look at the request.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Johnson, Taylor, Commissioner Taylor. Thank you, sorry.

MR. TAYLOR: It's okay. A quick question for Staff, it may be a better question for County Council, I'm not sure. So with the town hall meetings do you know if the, if they keep notes or if there's any kind of minutes or anything from a town hall meeting that are made available to constituents or residents?

MR. PRICE: I don't believe that there are.

MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Do you know where they make the announcement, because the, I thought it was said that they didn't know that there was a town hall meeting.

MR. PRICE: Typically they will place signage along the main road corridors advertising for the meeting. It is also on the county website. And I can't speak specifically for every Councilmember but I know a number of them, when it is in their district, will do notification through their, you know, through their own means to their constituents.

MR. TAYLOR: Final question, do you know if, and you may not know, during these town hall meetings will applicants discuss, even in vague terms as was laid out just now, whether or not, what kinda uses will go on in the designated area?

MR. PRICE: We've found that a lotta times during the town hall meetings that the applicants are a little more open as to what it is they're proposing to place on the property. But again, what is said and what actually goes there could be two different things. But those meetings are typically open for the applicant to discuss what it is that they're gonna place on the property and to take comments from the community.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor. Thank you, Staff. 1 Commissioners, any further discussion? What we have before us under the new Code, 2 this is now rural anymore it's AG zoning type? 3 MR. PRICE: That's correct. 4 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay. The proposed is light industrial opposed to a heavier 5 industrial. Commissioners, this is on the floor for discussion or any motions. 6 MR. JOHNSON: Just for the Record, I mean, the question – 7 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Johnson. 8 MR. JOHNSON: - just recognizing the distinction between the zoning as reflected 9 in the package versus what's on the screen. But I know that you all said earlier that 10 [inaudible] does not reflect [inaudible] the Code. 11 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Correct. Staff is saying that the interactive map, Richland 12 maps is not updated yet so the map from our packet is the one we have to refer to for 13 14 the current 2021 zoning. MR. DURANT: Mr. Chair? 15 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Durant? 16 17 MR. DURANT: Question for Staff. I'm just trying to get an understanding of the surrounding plots in the area and what the trend is here. If I go on Mr. Price's earlier 18 19 answer to my question the City of Columbia properties are operated by the South 20 Carolina Department of Mental Health and they're, I think they're more akin to institutional, would that be correct? 21 22 MR. PRICE: Yes, the zoning that they have is more likely institutional.

1	MR. DURANT: And on the east and north side it's already zoned for Richland
2	County as general commercial. Given that, what's surrounding it, this seems a bit out of
3	place, however, I do accept your conclusion that it's within the Richland County
4	Comprehensive Plan but I just wanted to make the comment it seems out of place, Mr.
5	Chair.
6	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Durant, thanks. Does anyone wanna take
7	their thoughts and say it as a motion? Then we can get a vote.
8	MR. GRADY: Mr. Chair?
9	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Grady?
10	MR. GRADY: I would like to put a motion on the table to approve this Map
11	Amendment 24-001 MA [inaudible].
12	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Grady. Do we have a second?
13	MR. SIERCKS: Mr. Chair?
14	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Siercks?
15	MR. SIERCKS: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second. Okay, we have a motion on the floor for approval
17	with a second. Staff, go ahead and take a vote, please.
18	MR. PRICE: Alright, motion for approval for Case 24-001 MA. Those in favor – a
19	yes vote is in favor of the motion for approval. Those in favor, Grady?
20	MR. GRADY: Aye.
21	MR. PRICE: Siercks?
22	MR. SIERCKS: Aye.
23	MR. PRICE: Taylor?

1	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
2	MR. PRICE: Durant?
3	MR. DURANT: Aye.
4	MR. PRICE: Metts?
5	MR. METTS: Aye.
6	MR. PRICE: Duffy?
7	MR. DUFFY: Aye.
8	MR. PRICE: Johnson?
9	MR. JOHNSON: Aye.
10	MR. PRICE: Yonke?
11	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Aye.
12	MR. PRICE: Motion passes.
13	[Approved: Yonke, Johnson, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady; Absent:
14	Frierson]
15	CHAIRMAN YONKE: With that we take item 5.c.2. as a recommendation of
16	approval to County Council and they will meet on the fourth Tuesday of the month. Stay
17	tuned to the website.
18	MR. PRICE: I think that specific date is March the 26th, 2024 at 7:00pm.
19	CHAIRMAN YONKE: March 26th, 7:00pm, thank you. And we can move forward
20	to our next Map Amendment which is item 5.c.3. Case Number 24-002 MA. Mr. Price?
21	CASE NO. 24-002 MA:
22	MR. PRICE: Alright, our next item is Case 24-002 MA. The Applicant is Kelvin
23	Wright. The location is at 2 Flora Circle. The Applicant is requesting to rezone 1.39

acres from R3 which is residential 3 to MU1 which is mixed, neighborhood mixed use, I'm sorry, I'm getting used to the new codes myself, mixed use 1. Staff recommends disapproval of this request. You know, to kind of make sure the request does not meet the Comprehensive Plan's recommendation of locating non-residential development along main road corridors as Flora Drive is classified as a two-lane undivided local road. In addition it does not meet the objective of being within a contextually appropriate distance from the intersection of a primary arterial, and the approval of the rezoning request would be out of character with the surrounding development pattern and zoning districts for the area. In addition the request would initiate the spread of non-residential zoning into an area where residential zoning may be more appropriate. For these

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. Any questions for Staff, Commissioners? Hearing none, Commissioner Grady do we have an applicant and people signed up to speak?

MR. GRADY: We do. The Applicant, Kelvin Wright.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yeah, please come on down and remember to state your name and address.

TESTIMONY OF KELVIN WRIGHT:

reasons Staff recommends disapproval.

MR. WRIGHT: How you doing? My name is Kelvin Wright, I'm a local attorney and also executive councilmember of Project Aspiration Foundation. We own and manage this property and with the Code change, we use it for organizational meetings, and the R3 doesn't specifically allow organizational meetings so that's why we're requesting it be turned into a neighborhood mixed use. The property was actually a

clubhouse for Clear Springs subdivision prior to us buying it and we use it for various
meetings and community events. We do community clean ups, we've done Black

History Bowl, we've done a food truck event, community clean ups, a vaccination event
and multiple organizations use this property for their meetings. So the goal is
compliance, we don't wanna be out of compliance with the new Code, zoning Code that
came down so that's the whole goal. So it's not any intentions of changing the use of
what we do at the property, we use it for organizational meetings, we just don't wanna

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir.

have citations coming up just because they changed the Code.

MR. GRADY: Several members of the public have signed up to speak. First on the list is Tina Louise Polite.

MR. PRICE: Excuse me, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes?

MR. PRICE: I just, just for the Record the Applicant, the proposed use would not have been permitted under the previous zoning designation for the property also.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you for clarifying that, Staff.

TESTIMONY OF TINA LOUISE POLITE:

MS. POLITE: Good evening. My name is Tina Louise Polite and I'm at 18 Flora Circle, directly across from 2 Flora Circle. I've been a resident for over 25 years and a homeowner. Since they've been in the community to say it's been an improvement, we have a lotta children in the community and I've worked with him on the clean sweep. Before I was like an alone person, trust me, I've also started, like Winslow Community Association way back when there was no association. So I know the benefit of the

children. We don't have any park nearby to have activities, the nearest one would be Northsprings Park. We don't have transportation. And they're working things such as having, we have a very big parking lot so yoga days, demonstrations for karate, Girl Scouts, Boy Scouts, and they do seek community input and I think it is very good for our students and community because before it was supposed to be a clubhouse where you can have those activities. And I think it would be beneficial and they work closely with myself and other community members to do things that enhance the community as well as for the youth. And it's something that's desperately needed. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you.

MR. GRADY: We have David Stone.

TESTIMONY OF DAVID STONE:

MR. STONE: Good evening Commissioners, my name is David Stone. I'm at 125 Chaunteclear Road in the Clear Springs community. It's a nice quiet unique community, residential area. We do have kids out there, it's a nice place to, people in the area can walk, they can run without being bothered. Now the building that they're talking about, since it's been occupied in the area, every weekend I've noticed what seems to be party activities. And I'm not against party but the noise decibels has been up in the neighborhood since that started. And a lotta times when you have things like that come into your neighborhood sooner or later it brings extended things that this quiet little neighborhood do not need. There's a pond in the area that the community use. I've been there for 30 years myself and I've never seen as much noise there now than I have in the past 30 years. I haven't. The purpose of that community is a residential and I for one feel strongly that it should remain as a residential area. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir.

MR. GRADY: We have Ethel Mahoney.

TESTIMONY OF ETHELE MAHONEY:

MR. MAHONEY: Good evening. My name is Ethele Mahoney. I've been a resident of the Clear Springs subdivision for over 37 years. It's a unique small community. It's quiet, it's peaceful. Since they've taken that community center and acquired it it's became very noisy, I live directly behind that community center, one house and I can see the community center. And I feel that as a residential neighborhood I think it should stay a residential neighborhood, because what's gonna happen as my neighbor said, it's bringing in unwanted traffic. It has not had any problems in that neighborhood since I been there, you may have had minor just like any other neighborhood. I don't think that as they stated that they've came in and done a lot of benefit for the benefit, I think it takes away from the neighborhood. Okay? And that's the way I feel about it and I would like for it to remain a residential neighborhood. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir. Can you state your address for the Record?

MR. MAHONEY: It's Ethele Mahoney at 308 Rockingham Road.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, appreciate it.

MR. MAHONEY: Thank you.

MR. GRADY: Alright, next it says and David and Susan White so I don't know if you wanna come down separately or?

TESTIMONY OF DAVID WHITE:

MR. WHITE: I'm David White, I live at 1105 Flora Drive. And to reiterate what Mr. Stone said it's a good family neighborhood. Also the location in question is the site of frequent loud raucous parties. We're on a pond, that pond magnifies the noise of the party all over the neighborhood. The last raucous party someone leaving that parking lot ran over and destroyed the mailbox of that address which has not been repaired and gives the appearance of a derelict place. We longtime residents there just don't care for a lot of noise and I'm a party man myself, but – and any other activities which the first two speakers spoke of, I have a horror of children drowning in that pond which has a deck right over the water. My wife and I are not in favor of it becoming a community center. There's the Jewish Community Center on Flora Drive right down the road where rental events can be had. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir. Any other names Commissioner Grady?

MR. GRADY: Alright, if your – no? Okay. Last name I have on the list is I believe

Dwayne Ismeal?

TESTIMONY OF DWAYNE ISMEAL:

MR. ISMEAL: Greetings to everyone on the panel there. Dwayne Ismeal, 1101 Flora Drive, the one directly across, down from the property itself. Pretty much in favor against like everyone else here, quiet neighborhood, but I just wanted to clean up some things for the Record. There was community clean up, I remember it going past my house. I don't know what happens on the other end but I did see it one time pass my house. We do have a problem with trespassing at their particular location, because there's not anyone there all the time. So as I come home I have to run people off. The gentleman's right, they use it for their community events, the Cubes I believe it is, so

what I do to find out if people are really there for them, I'll say, oh so you're here, Mr. Q let you fish? Oh yeah, Mr. Q let me fish, Mr. Q said I could come any time. I'm like, that's a lie, you can leave the property or we calling the Sheriff's Department. So I run people off from the property. We have a problem with trespassing. Every year we have a problem with trespassing. Every year we have a problem with trespassing. Now the pond is a private pond, everyone who lives on the pond has rights to it. The people across the street do not have rights to that pond. My water rights go out and turn, next to us is empty lots. Their rights go out. We have to run people off from there constantly. The property in question had a privacy fence up and people could not see the water. Now the beautification, it looks really nice that they really cleaned up the property, I'm gonna give them that, it's really nice, but that fence came down and people coming across that hill, they see all that water, every weekend fishermen wanna be out there. I'm having to call the Sheriff's Department constantly. I'm in a battle with the lady next to me to put up no trespassing signs, because we have liter. Time has ran?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes.

MR. ISMEAL: The JCC is available for any events, the pond is private, it's not there for the community. I pay property taxes to you guys for that, that right. And we do have a problem with trespassing. And that house was not a community house, I was there for 20 years, I had a neighbor who lived in that house.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir. That is your time, I appreciate it.

MR. ISMEAL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you.

MR. GRADY: That is everyone who has signed up to speak on this matter.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Grady. To be fair to the Applicant cause I let the applicant from the other case come back and speak, does this Applicant want to come up and speak?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, I would.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay, I'll give you two minutes.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you very much. Just wanna address the mailbox, it's been fixed. We got it fixed shortly after it happened. There's no proof that it happened from the event, we just know that it was damaged and we had to put it back up. The way the property is set up it's a driveway for multiple, a lotta parking, things of that nature. I don't disagree that maybe somebody lived in the house but it's definitely set up for what we're using it for which is like a clubhouse. I think we do a benefit for the community and I think we should, it should be the exception of LI with this property to continue to be used the way it is. I can't imagine it being used for residential the way it's set up now and if it's not zoned properly it's just gonna end up creating issues where we're gonna be getting fined or whoever, cause we own the property and we can't use it. And so I think it'd be taking away from our use as an organization. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir. Okay Commissioners, this is now up for discussion.

MR. GRADY: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Grady?

MR. GRADY: Question for Staff on this one. Obviously there's been different opinions expressed here, but in Staff's opinion what is the difference between this

property and, for example, a clubhouse or such in any subdivision that is allowed to be in a residential area?

MR. PRICE: Typically when you see a parcel or a structure that's within a community and it's part of the community it's typically under the HOA, and it's designed specifically for the residents of that community or that area. I believe it was stated this would be privately owned and open outside of the community was one of the main reasons why we looked at it as not being an amenity for the, I'm sorry the name of the community I think is Clear Springs, that it was not an amenity for the Clear Springs community but rather it was a parcel that would be used more commercially, which is why the need for the rezoning is before you.

MR. GRADY: That's the [inaudible] benefit of the neighborhood [inaudible]?

MR. PRICE: There are a couple of things we're looking at now. You know, I think when this first came before us, I'm not sure that might've been a year or two years ago when this issue came before us, there is a use, and again we're still reviewing what it actually means, but it's called community recreation center, it's a place, building or – and I'm just reading you the definition as found in §26-9.3 of the new Land Development Code – but it's a place, building, area of the facility used for providing social or recreation programs and facilities. The center may be private or public and may be designed to accommodate and serve specific residential developments or significant segments of the community. That is the definition now. So if you wanna get I guess specifics to the question, you know, again going with the conversation that we just had for the last case, what you have before you is a request for MU1 and all of the uses that go with it. If we wanted to dive directly into, okay specific use and what's being before

you and if you're just looking at it that way and we were to apply this definition I just read to you, you know, the question would become, does this, you know, accommodate and serve a specific residential development or significant segments of the community. So I think just based on that language I would still stick with what I previously said to you, that these type of structures, even if it was a community recreation center, it's typically designed for within the community and not necessarily a more commercial use that's open to anyone.

MR. GRADY: And that's understandable. I guess what my questions stem from this request for a zoning change sort of feels like using a hatchet to remove a fly, it feels like an instrument that is not necessarily suited to the task and I was just trying to [inaudible].

MR. PRICE: I'll go back to you, so if we go back to the beginning when everything kind of came about, I guess you could say kind of initiated this request for the rezoning, we may have been looking at this differently had the community come in, Clear Springs, had they come in maybe as a community or HOA, I'm not sure if they have that or not, and this was something they wanted to establish for their community, then I don't think that a rezoning request would've been necessary, because it would've been basically an amenity for the community. Just like any other subdivision you see you find swimming pools or buildings or something that's used specifically for, at least primarily for the community. What we've had to do, what's – well I don't want to get into the enforcement part of it but that's why we felt that it wasn't part of the community and that's why the rezoning request is necessary.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Any other questions? Commissioner Taylor.

MR. TAYLOR: Question for Staff. I thought I heard and I may have heard wrong, but this is R3 now, correct?

MR. PRICE: Correct.

MR. TAYLOR: And what was the previous zoning designation?

MR. PRICE: RS-MD, RS-MD.

MR. TAYLOR: And moving from, like an RS-MD to an R3, did it pick up some, like permitted uses, do you know? Was it a one to one or?

MR. PRICE: I'm sorry, I don't have that table before me and I promise you going forward we will have those comparisons in the future. I don't believe that, when it came to the residential zoning designations the equivalencies didn't vary very much.

MR. TAYLOR: I wasn't sure [inaudible] I did see that there [inaudible] subject to special requirements. [Inaudible] I wasn't sure if that was a designation or -

MR. PRICE: I'm sorry, again I will tell you, we've had conversations that are always a little uncomfortable talking a specific for a rezoning request because that's not what you have before you, but we're here so I wanna make sure I give you all the information that you have. So a community recreation center is allowed throughout, basically throughout all of our zoning designations by special requirement primarily. So kind of reading through the special requirements, there are three but for this property only two would apply. It says, all buildings, swimming pools, lighted tennis courts and athletic fields shall be located in a minimum of 50' from residential districts and lots containing residential uses. Swimming pools shall comply with the following, shall comply with the setback requirements for accessory buildings in the zoning district in which the pool is located, shall be enclosed by a fence having a district height of 4' that

1	is equipped with a self-enclosing gate, having [inaudible] for permanent locking, and if
2	located in a residential district shall not be operated as or in conjunction with a
3	business, daycare operation, bed and breakfast or home occupation. And that was
4	more specific to the swimming pool but those are the special requirements for a
5	community recreation center.
6	MR. TAYLOR: And the only reason I even brought it up was because trying to
7	assess whether a change was even necessary if it fell under one of these requirements.
8	And again hearing from the residents it sounds like even with a residential designation
9	they still have to call law enforcement in to, you know, get rid of folks, through no fault of
10	the agency necessarily, but again just trying to get a clear picture on everything. Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor. Commissioners, further
13	discussion or maybe a motion on the floor?
14	MR. DUFFY: Yeah, I propose a motion.
15	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Duffy.
16	MR. DUFFY: Yeah, based on the recommendation of Staff I move for disapproval
17	of the proposal before us.
18	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay, we have a motion on the floor for disapproval. Do we
19	have a second?
20	MR. METTS: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second, Commissioner Metts. Okay with the motion and a
22	second we'll take this up to a vote for disapproval. Staff, please take a vote.

```
MR. PRICE: Alright, we have a motion for disapproval of Case 24-002 MA. A yes
1
     vote is in favor of the disapproval. Those in favor, Durant?
2
           MR. DURANT: Aye.
3
           MR. PRICE: Taylor?
4
           MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
5
           MR. PRICE: Siercks?
6
           MR. SIERCKS: Aye.
 7
           MR. PRICE: Grady?
8
           MR. GRADY: Aye.
9
           MR. PRICE: Metts?
10
           MR. METTS: Aye.
11
           MR. PRICE: Duffy?
12
           MR. DUFFY: Aye.
13
           MR. PRICE: Johnson?
14
           MR. JOHNSON: Aye.
15
           MR. PRICE: Yonke?
16
17
           CHAIRMAN YONKE: Aye.
           MR. PRICE: So the motion for disapproval passes.
18
     [Approved to deny: Yonke, Johnson, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady;
19
20
     Absent: Frierson]
           CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. That will also go to County Council with a
21
     recommendation of disapproval from the Planning Commission. Do you have a date for
22
23
     that meeting?
```

2

3

5

6

8

7

9

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

MR. PRICE: That'll also be March the 26th, 2024, that's a Tuesday, at 7:00pm.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, sir. Looking at our Agenda, this would now move us into item number 6., Other Items. We have an action, a., Olympia Mill District Historic Overlay. I'll turn this back over to Staff for more information.

MR. PRICE: Okay. Alright, so what we have before us, I think this was previously stated to the Planning Commission, we started discussing this back in December and then we also discussed this at our last meeting, is the introduction of a new zoning overlay district within our new Land Development Code and this is the neighborhood character overlay district. So what you have within your Agenda packet as taken directly from the Land Development Code is the section, of course section A, NC/O, neighborhood character overlay district, and one of the things we did as a Staff, and we understand that this is going to be a process, this isn't something necessarily that's gonna happen, you know, immediately, maybe not today, maybe not even at your next meeting, but we wanted to make sure that we are very diligent in how we approach this, because this is one of the first overlays that we're applying that's gonna be part of a neighborhood character basis and we wanted to make sure we're doing this correctly. So one of the things that from reviewing this as a Staff is this was, we kind of looked at this almost as an application in which you had to address or answer some questions first, and in doing so that would then allow you to then proceed on. So looking at A.2.a., A.2.b., and 3, there were a number of, I wouldn't call them questions but a number of statements that we felt Staff needed, Staff felt needed to be addressed to show that the proposed overlay was eligible to be considered for an overlay designation. If you have any questions please let me know. So as a Staff what we wanted to do was kinda go

over each one of these items. And one of the things that also, that this section also requested was, was this proposed overlay, did it meet certain requirements and did where were those requirements being met, and so you'll notice within your package you'll see a number of comments in red and also we included exhibits for this so we can show you exactly where those come from. And basically we used the current Olympia Mill Village Architectural Design Guidelines which is something that we presented to you previously, and also the Crane Creek Mill District Masterplan which was adopted by County Council, I believe it's in your package, in 2017. So what I would like to do is just go over each of these items. I know it may seem a little tedious but, you know, we'll be more than happy to go over it because, you know, we wanna make sure this is done correctly and that we're being very transparent in how we go forth. Do y'all have any questions at this time?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Questions, Commissioners? Thank you, Mr. Price, for being thorough.

MR. PRICE: Alright, so one of the first, I guess items that needs to be addressed was item A.2.a., and it was prior to the establishment of an NC/O district for a specific neighborhood. A Masterplan for the neighborhood shall be completed and recommended for adoption by the Planning Commission. For this case that is a check, that has been done. The Capitol City Mill District and Corridor Plan was adopted November 14, 2017 and there's also a link there for you if you wanted to have a chance to review it. The next item was NC/O district shall be established in accordance with §26-2.5A, text amendment at §26-2.5b, zoning map for each NC/O established, a unique combination of numbers and a letter shall replace the # character, the name of

the district. And so it is proposed that this will be identified as the neighborhood character Olympia Mill Village Overlay, or NCOMV/O. 3.a.1., the area contains an NC/O district shall meet all of the following requirements, and this is, we wanted to make sure that each one of these have been met so that we can go forward – the boundaries of the proposed overlay are depicted on page 8 of the Olympia Mill Village Architectural Design Guidelines. The proposed overlay does meet these requirements, there are multiple blocks within the identified boundaries and each block with a boundary fronts at least to opposing streets. Tommy, can you put that jump drive in and – while Mr. DeLage is pulling that up, that is found on page 23 of your package, it's labeled Exhibit A in which it outlines what the boundaries for the Olympia Mill District, excuse me, the Olympia Mill Village Historic District. Thank you. Two, at least 60% of the land area within the proposed NC/O district, not including street and other right-of-way, was developed at least 20 years prior to the neighborhood masterplan being initiated. This is found on Exhibit B, according to the Olympia Mill Village Architectural Design Guidelines, which is found on page 7 of that document, the construction of the homes within the proposed overlay range from being constructed from 1900 to 1949. Three, there is ongoing or anticipated new development or redevelopment within the area. County records using our building permits document that there is ongoing new development and/or redevelopment within the boundaries of the proposed overlay. Four, one or more of the following attributes creates a distinctive, cohesive character for the area including scale, size, type of construction of distinctive building materials. That is found on Exhibit C, again page 10 of the Olympia Mill Village Architectural Guidelines. It outlines the historical construction of the Olympia Mill Village, and also on pages 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

through 36 it depicts the building design of the houses within the proposed overlay. B., lot layout, setbacks, street layouts, alleys and sidewalks, Exhibit D. These attributes of the Olympia Mill Village are outlined on pages 10, 18-19, and 23-27, again of the Olympia Mill Village. C., special, natural or streetscape characteristics and land use patterns. Those are not applicable. Again, before this particular section had one or more of the following has to be found and so we were able to at least identify two through items A and B. And also E, which is proximity to historic districts or sites, and Exhibit E shows that the origins and history of the Olympia Cotton Mill and they're provided on page 9 of the Olympia Mill Guidelines that document those presented to you. Continue on. 3.b., the masterplan for the neighborhood shall meet all of the following requirements: complies with the Comprehensive Plan and any other relevant adopted policies. This is found on Exhibit F which the masterplan, excuse me, it complies with the Comprehensive Plan and other relevant documents meaning the Comprehensive Plan and other relevant adopted policies compliance for the Capitol City Mill District Area and Corridor Plan are identified on page 5 of the Capitol City Mill District masterplan which will – and also included other relevant adopted policies; the 1983 Olympia Neighborhood Development Plan, the Granby Whaley Olympia Plan which was adopted in 1999, the Richland County Comprehensive Plan which was adopted in 2015, Plan Columbia Land Use which was adopted in 2014, Walk Bike Columbia Pedestrian and Bicycle masterplan which was adopted in 2015, Rocky Branch Watershed Assessment Report which was adopted in 2016. 3.b. including a map of the proposed boundaries, again on Exhibit Z it shows on pages 4 and 15 of the Capitol City Mill District Plan it provides and map and boundaries of that district. 3.b.iii. describe the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

distinctive features, characteristics and conditions that make the area unique in conforming a basis for standards in the overlay district, Exhibit A. The considerations for selection, identification for notable sites and existing architectural character are outlined on pages 15 and 16 of the Capitol City Mill District Plan. 3.b.4. lays out development goals for the character of the neighborhood. That's found on Exhibit I. The development goals for the CCMD which is the Capitol City Mill District Plan, includes recommendations for architectural redevelopment that are found on pages 11 and 37 and 38 of that plan. Yeah, any questions at this time?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioners?

MR. PRICE: Okay. So as each one of those were identified to show that essentially the requirements in order for us to enact an overlay district have been met. The next section that we come to are the standards for this new overlay district. And it states, each NC/O district shall establish standards for development and redevelopment, including but not limited to standards addressing – and there are a number of items labeled from a. to v. I can go through each one of those and, but we can kind of talk about those. What makes those a little different is I actually, we found as a Staff where the Olympia Mill Plan and also the Capitol City Mill District Plan in many cases already address some of these standards. Again, these are not binding on you, these are things that we can have discussion and you can make certain changes to, but we just wanted you to have it kind of a baseline to show where these have already been identified in adopted plans. What you see in red, the comments that are in red are found within the Olympia Plan or the Capitol City Mill District Plan. That was, what you see in blue which would be items 4.a. and 4.b. and later on 4.m., o., s., those would come from

our current Land Development Code so we found that potentially some of those are standards that may be placed could come from the newly adopted Land Development Code and those would be using the basis of the underlying zoning designations for that property which are primarily R4, R6 and MU1. There is one section that is in green and that is 4.u. in which we talk about, you know, wetlands, drainage patterns and such, and that was in green because that does not come from either any of the adopted plans or the Richland County Land Development Code, it would be something that would be enforced through the Richland County Engineering, through other regulations. So if you would like we can go through each one of these and talk the specifics for the standards, I'll just take your guidance, Mr. Chair and Planning Commission.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioners, what do you think? Comments?

Concerns? What he's talked through so far, thank you for being so thorough. Mr. Price, what would be our next step with this, with our goal?

MR. PRICE: Again, if you would like we can go through each one of these items. Again, we reference the actual pages within the other documents where we can find this information so we could go through each one of those, or as a Planning Commission if you're relatively comfortable with us using these guidelines then we can, as a Staff we can actually prepare a, almost like a draft ordinance that actually will be more specific as opposed to just referencing. So we would no longer reference where it came from but we would actually reference specifics such as what the minimum lot width would be, what the maximum building height would be, we would actually address those specifically with numbers for you and then you can make a decision on whether those should be amended in some way or kept. And also in addition you are not limited to

what you have before you, there may be some other standards that as a body you feel should be incorporated into this overlay district. So there'll be some additional discussion with this. And also I want to kind of point out, I expect that once we can come up with a draft, something that the Planning Commission is actually relatively comfortable with, we would then like to get this out to the community, those who are within, fall within these boundaries that are previously identified and we'll kind of determine how we'll do that, and also one, to announce to them what changes may be coming, but also to provide them with an opportunity to come and participate, ask questions of Staff. And we may also look to actually go into the community and hold a couple of meetings so that when people want to come in they can come in and ask specific questions as it relates to their property or also how the development of the Olympia area will be with these changes.

MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commission Taylor.

MR. TAYLOR: If no one is in opposition could be just do maybe one?

MR. PRICE: Sure.

MR. TAYLOR: [Inaudible] for 4.c., and just use page 43 to tell us how you would do location of proposed buildings or additions and as a sample, certainly it doesn't have to be, you know, perfect but just as an example. And then if everyone's satisfied I think we can kinda extrapolate a [inaudible].

CHAIRMAN YONKE: I think that's a great idea. Thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

MR. PRICE: So essentially this area, this looks to establish setbacks, setbacks but also some language. If you take a look at, on the right column, it'd be the second bullet, I'm sorry, the third bullet where it says, the primary building shall be located in the middle of the lot and garages and accessory structures shall be in the rear, again those are things that we could bring forth and you as the Commission could make the determination of what you feel is appropriate. Essentially you're establishing setbacks in a specific location of where buildings will be allowed.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Grady.

MR. GRADY: Question for Staff. [Inaudible] our packet which is part of Exhibit [inaudible] the next bullet point on the page says, when to construct new, new construction within the neighborhood is appropriate only if it will not [inaudible]. Would Staff be able to define what 'significantly alter' and 'extent contributing resource' means?

MR. PRICE: Which section were you reading from again? I apologize.

MR. GRADY: Bottom of page [inaudible].

MR. PRICE: Which section, when to preserve, rehabilitate, restore or construct?

MR. GRADY: Yes.

MR. PRICE: All four?

MR. GRADY: Well, the last bullet.

MR. PRICE: Okay, thank you.

MR. GRADY: Just that phrase at the end of the first sentence, I was curious how that was defined, because that seems like the sort of thing that you could ask 10 different people and you would get at least 10 different answers on what that means.

MR. PRICE: Yeah, again all of these are not necessarily gonna be required to be brought over to be adopted as part of the overlay. There may be some things just as you're questioning and I think if we can't come up with a, you know, I think a quality answer to what you're asking then maybe it shouldn't be included. That's a good question.

MR. GRADY: I certainly understand that. And I think the overall course that you've laid out of preparing an ordinance that aggregates the relevant materials I think would be helpful for us to review.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioners, any other comments? That's a good path to move forward.

MR. PRICE: Yeah, and I think just to kinda answer, you know, we'll look further into this but I think the intent of that particular section is simply just don't tear down a building just to do it, that the intent really should be that if it's a vacant lot of course that's open for construction or if the building is in a condition in which it probably is not habitable or it's not safe then it should be replaced. But the intent of this section is to try to keep the existing buildings when that option is available to you and to more or less rehab, refurbish those buildings as opposed to tearing down since they're new construction.

MR. GRADY: Understood, and that's on a related note something that would be helpful when there's something for us to look at since there's a table that notes the relevant zoning categories that are in the district it would probably be helpful to have a map or, unless I missed that in here.

MR. PRICE: Okay. Yeah, we can provide that for you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Grady. Yes, I would like to see a path forward, too, that gets us to public input so we can invite the community to come to the meetings and –

MR. PRICE: And of course the idea is not, and I think you know, one of the good things is I think we've all been through this exercise recently on something very similar in which I think it's good to have a document that's ready for the public to read and then they can then participate because they'll know at least the direction of where we're going. Again, it's not necessarily something that's binding because there may be some comments that come in that make us all kinda rethink the direction that we're heading as it relates to any of the standards that we may want to place. But again, to have something to present to the public I think is something good to have first before we start really taking any public comments.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Mr. Price, as a Commission do we need to make a motion today for tasking for Staff?

MR. PRICE: Yes. Yes, we would appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Help me phrase this. We're looking for an ordinance or just to continue the process where you fill in some more of the –

MR. PRICE: If you feel that there's more discussion necessary we can continue the process, but at the same time we could also do both; you could ask us to direct us as a Commission to begin drafting an ordinance based on some of the standards that we've identified so that you'll at least have an idea how it will look, and again maybe that's just something once you take a look at this you have a better idea of which direction you wanna take this.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Great Commissioners, I guess I'm comfortable making a 1 motion to task Staff to write an ordinance to fill all of this in for us. What are your 2 thoughts on that, do we think we need more time before we begin that? No? Okay, I see 3 a lotta head nods, then with my motion is there a second? 4 MR. METTS: Second. 5 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second? Alright, from Commissioner Metts. Gotcha. 6 Alright, motion is to task Staff to write an ordinance for the neighborhood overlay for 7 Olympia Mill. Please take a vote. 8 9 MR. PRICE: Alright. Those in favor, Yonke? CHAIRMAN YONKE: Aye. 10 MR. PRICE: Johnson? 11 MR. JOHNSON: Aye. 12 MR. PRICE: Duffy? 13 MR. DUFFY: Aye. 14 MR. PRICE: Metts? 15 MR. METTS: Aye. 16 17 MR. PRICE: Durant? MR. DURANT: Aye. 18 19 MR. PRICE: Taylor? 20 MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. PRICE: Siercks? 21 22 MR. SIERCKS: Aye. 23 MR. PRICE: Grady?

MR. GRADY: Aye.

MR. PRICE: That motion passes.

[Approved to deny: Yonke, Johnson, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady;

Absent: Frierson]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. That wraps up number 6, which moves us to number 7, the Chairman's Report. I want to defer some time to Commissioner Johnson who had a few words. Do you still wanna speak? Okay.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, just very briefly, an hour and a half at 6:00 feels different than an hour and a half at 3:00, but I did wanna just make a comment. I tried last month or I guess month before to just highlight something. Clearly this is our first month acting under the new Code and I just thought that it is important just to stop – I remember before I actually took seat on the Commission listening to the meetings in those months leading up to that and they were very well attended, they were very well engaged and a lot of conversation about what was happening in the process and a lack of knowledge and maybe the contingence is not the right word but it was definitely an engaged process. And so at this point I just wanna make sure that we stop both to recognize Staff as well as County Council for allowing us to navigate through this process to get to today. And I think it's just worth a point of saying that we have turned a corner and it's been a while and so it's easy to get lost in that, in a long process and forget there are times that you have to just kinda stop and look in the rearview mirror and say, you know, we've arrived at a destination. So I just wanted to – I tried to address that before but kinda rushed at the end of the meeting but I did wanna

get us to this point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Johnson, and a big echo to Staff. Thank you for getting us through the restart process, everything with the Land Development Code. And now here we are in March and we're using it. That's great. So thank you Commissioners for all your time and effort you invest each month, I seriously mean it. I know you guys spend a lot of time volunteering traveling and reviewing cases and all your participation and these discussions are great, you've helped me a lot, like just speaking up. Thank you. Your time does go by fast up here so please speak when you can. And as a reminder any feedback from you on how we can make the most out of our time here in 2024 as a Commission to best serve our county, that's always welcome to please feel free to reach out to me. Staff, later in the year I'd like to help coordinate a retreat where we can hear from other departments. We've successfully had three of these so far in my last five years on the Commission and I found each of them to be extremely valuable for both us and for the public who were able to attend. So can we start planning? It doesn't have to be anything too big, but to hear from departments it always helps with, I know speaking for myself, my thought process as I'm looking at each case.

just take a few minutes just to say that and just recognize that work Staff has done to

MR. PRICE: I'm trying to understand this retreat you're talking about.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: A training.

MR. PRICE: Training, yes. Yes, so definitely we can start looking into that. And again over the years, you know, I think mostly Staff has kind of put these together and we looked at different items. But again, we welcome any input from the Commission as

to something specific that you would like to see us address, you know, please feel free
to reach out to us as a Staff because, you know, the earlier you get it to us the more we,
it gives us more time to be able to, you know, track down appropriate speakers or
presenters at the retreat as we've had in the past.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Let's also do some calendaring sooner than later cause everyone gets busier schedules as summer approaches.

MR. PRICE: So right now, I mean, we don't have to do it today, we can definitely wait until the next meeting, but if you want to go ahead and tie down a date then that would allow us as a Staff to go ahead and tie down a location. And from that point on then we can, you know, move to kind of fill the agenda for the training.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: I used a spreadsheet last time through an email that helped, so I can coordinate efforts to get some potential dates and then we could talk to that collectives as a Commission. With that we can move on to number 8, your report.

MR. PRICE: The only thing in there is the Report of County Council from their last actions on cases that y'all have made recommendations on. It's found on page 101.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Mr. Price. Any other comments from the Commission before we wrap up tonight? Thank you for hosting an evening meeting to make this easier for the public to attend. Hearing nothing from the Commission I'll take a motion for adjournment.

MR. DURANT: So moved.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: So moved. We do this with a show of hands. That's unanimous.

		46
1	[Approved to deny: Yonke, Johnson, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady;	
2	Absent: Frierson]	
3	MR. PRICE: That's for adjournment.	
4	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, we are adjourned.	
5		
6	[Meeting adjourned at 7:35pm]	