# RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL <br> <br> ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE 
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Paul Livingston District 4

Greg Pearce District 6
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July 24, 2007
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Richland County Council Chambers<br>County Administration Building<br>2020 Hampton Street

## Call to Order

Approval of Minutes
A. June 26, 2007: Regular Meeting
[Pages 3-6]

## Adoption of Agenda

I. Items for Action
A. Coroner's Office: Request to renew the contract with
[Pages 7-8]
Professional Pathology Services and to encumber initial funds of $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ for autopsy and exam services
B. Sponsorship Requests

1. Central Midlands Council of Governments: 2007 Regional Leadership Award Banquet
2. City of Columbia: Green is Good for Business Conference
[Pages 9-11]
Leadership Award Banquet

## , Green is Good for Busines Conference

[Pages 12-19]
C. An ordinance amending the Fiscal Year 2007-08 General
[Pages 20-23]
Fund Annual Budget so as to establish a manufactured/ mobile home registration fee of $\$ 25$ per registration to defray the cost of location, identification, and inspection of derelict manufactured and mobile homes and to appropriate fivethousand dollars $(\$ 5,000)$ to the general fund annual budget
D. Request to renew a contract with Carolina Care Plan for employee health insurance
E. Request to renew a contract with MetLife for employee life and dental insurance
F. Sheriff's Department: Request to approve acceptance of a Gang Enforcement Investigations Program Grant (Personnel required / No financial match)
G. Sheriff's Department: Request to approve acceptance of an DNA Grant (Personnel required / No financial match)
H. Sheriff's Department: Request to approve acceptance of equipment grants (No personnel / \$29,388 match)
I. Sheriff's Department: Request to approve requisitions for fuel, communications, and vehicle services
J. Request to consider a policy that would give each council member a minimum of $\$ 25,000$ and a maximum of $\$ 50,000$ in undesignated hospitality tax funds for at least one project located within each council district
II. Items for Discussion / Information
A. Reporting and procurement requirements for outside agencies funded by Richland County
B. Policy on Municipal Incorporations
[Pages 39-40]
[Pages 41-42]

## Adjournment



RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE TUESDAY, JUNE 26, 2007

## 5:30 P.M.

In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, a copy of the agenda was sent to radio and TV stations, newspapers, persons requesting notification, and was posted on the bulletin board located in the lobby of the County Administration Building.

## MEMBERS PRESENT

| Chair: | Kit Smith |
| :--- | :--- |
| Member: | Damon Jeter |
| Member: | Paul Livingston |
| Member: | Mike Montgomery |
| Member: | L. Gregory Pearce, Jr. |

ALSO PRESENT: Bernice G. Scott, Joyce Dickerson, Norman Jackson, Michielle Cannon-Finch, Milton Pope, Tony McDonald, Joe Cronin, Larry Smith, Amelia Linder, Pam Davis, Tamara King, Sherry Wright-Moore, Chief Harrell, Mike Byrd, Rodolfo Callwood, John Hixon, Stephany Snowden, Jennifer Dowden, Monique Walters, Michelle Onley

## CALL TO ORDER

The meeting started at approximately 5:38 p.m.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

May 22, 2007 (Regular Session) - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to approve the minutes as submitted. The vote in favor was unanimous.

## ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Mr. Montgomery, to approve the agenda as submitted. The vote in favor was unanimous.

## ITEMS FOR ACTION

Request to Approve a Contract with ABL Food Service, Inc. in the amount of \$1,183,695.00 for food service at the Richland County Detention Center - Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by
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Mr. Montgomery, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to Approve a Contract with Taylor Made Ambulances in the amount of $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 6 , 0 0 5}$ to remount five ambulance patient modules on new chassis - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to approve purchase orders for the Emergency Services Department - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. The vote in favor was unanimous.

An Ordinance Amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 17, Motor Vehicles and Traffic; Article 1, Regulations Regarding County-owned or leased property; Section 17-2, parking on County office property; subparagraph (A)(6); so that the Finance Department will determine and set the cost for a parking decal - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Request to allow the Procurement Department to negotiate an agreement with Stevens \& Wilkinson to provide Architectural/Engineering Services for the Renovation and Addition to the Township Auditorium - Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Memorandum of Understanding with Southeast Rural Community Outreach Ministries in regards to an allocation in the amount of $\$ 167,250$ from the Local Hospitality Tax Revenue Fund during the Fiscal Year 2008 budget for the development of a Lower Richland Heritage Corridor - Mr.
Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval and to obligate the grantee to provide records in a timely manner for their review.

Mr. Livingston requested the following amendment: to have a clear statement of purpose.
Ms. Scott further requested the following amendment: to have a full report from all entities receiving funding from the County.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Treasurer's Office: Request to award a sole-source contract in the amount of $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 4 , 1 0 0}$ to Palmetto Posting for posting tax notices on the premises of delinquent real estate and mobile homes - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.
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Requested to approve $\$ 58,973.00$ in unallocated Hospitality Tax funds for Kenneth B. Simmons and Associates to proceed with Task 3 (Park Conceptual Master Planning Services) of the northern Richland County Recreation Complex - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded Mr. Jeter, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. The vote in favor was unanimous.

An Ordinance Amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 2, Administration; Article III, Administrative offices and officers; Division 3, Assistant County Administrator - Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Mr. Montgomery, to forward this item to Council with a recommendation for approval. A discussion took place.

The vote in favor was unanimous.
POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE - Ms. Scott recognized that Judge Stocker was in the audience.
Sheriff Starting Salary Program - Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Mr. Montgomery, to defer this item until the July meeting. The vote in favor was unanimous.

## ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION/INFORMATION

Procurement update regarding bulk purchasing discounts - Mr. Rodolfo Callwood gave a brief overview of the procurement procedure regarding bulk purchases.

## ITEMS PENDING ANALYSIS

Licensing and Location Requirements for Sexually-Oriented Businesses - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Jeter, to place this item on the July $10^{\text {th }}$ Council agenda for action.

Incorporation of Ballentine - Ms. Smith stated she is working on a draft resolution regarding this item.
Partnership Agreement for the Sharing of Richland County GIS Data - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to delete this item from the committee agenda and have the Administrator follow-up with the interested parties.

Reporting and procurement requirements for outside agencies funded by Richland County - This item will be an item for discussion on the July committee agenda.

Victim's Assistance Budget Amendment - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Jeter, to request administration to prepare a program to utilize an additional administrative fee on the special duty pay in the Sheriff's Office for the purpose of funding the deficit in Victim's Assistance for FY07-08 and to let that fee be set such that that is a carryover amount for Victim's Assistance in the future.

Mr. Pearce stated that he did not believe action could be taken on this item unless it was moved to an action item.

Mr. Pearce moved, seconded by Mr. Jeter, to have this item added as an item for action. The vote in favor was unanimous.
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Mr. Montgomery amended his previous motion to include the Sheriff's proposal. The vote in favor was unanimous.

RFQ for Attorneys Providing Outside Legal Services - This item will be placed on the July committee agenda for action.

## Request to Move the Detention Center Under the Control of the Sheriff's Department - Mr.

Livingston moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to delete this item from the committee agenda. The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Jackson requested that the jail oversight committee be reconstituted.
Budget Amendment to change Undesignated Hospitality Tax funds to Reserve Funds - This item will be placed on the July committee agenda for action.

Governmental Affairs Liaison - This item is still pending analysis.
Council Discretionary Hospitality Tax Accounts - Mr. Montgomery moved, seconded by Mr. Pearce, to delete this item from the committee agenda. The vote was in favor.

Mr. McEachern stated that he did not believe action could be taken on this item unless it was moved to an action item.

Ms. Smith ruled that it would be on the July committee agenda for action.
Council Member Salaries - This item is still pending analysis.

## ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:59 p.m.
Submitted by,

Kit Smith, Chair
The minutes were transcribed by Michelle M. Onley

## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Coroner - 2400-Request for approval to renew contract with Professional Pathology Services, PC for FY '07-‘08

## A. Purpose

Council is requested to approve the renewal of the contract with Professional Pathology Services, PC to perform autopsies and postmortem examination for the Coroner's Office for FY '07-'08 and the encumbrance of funds for these services.

## B. Background/Discussion:

The contract with Professional Pathology Services, PC went into effect in July 1992 with the option to renew each year. This pathology group is the only group that can meet the specifications of the Coroner's Office to perform autopsy services. Therefore, it is requested that the contract be approved as a sole-source service provided to the county. The contract should provide for autopsy services by this group at a cost of $\$ 850.00$ per autopsy and $\$ 100.00$ per forensic consult exam.

## C. Financial Impact:

Based on the prior year and estimates, I would request an initial amount of $\$ 270,000.00$ be approved for autopsy and forensic consult exam services for FY '07-'08. It is possible that this amount will not be sufficient and will have to be increased during the year.

## D. Alternatives:

1. Approve the request to renew the contract with Professional Pathology Services, PC and to encumber initial funds of $\$ 270,000.00$ for autopsy and exam services by Professional Pathology Services, PC.
2. Do not approve.

Approval of this request to renew the contract with Professional Pathology Services, PC and to encumber the funds requested will allow autopsies and forensic consult exams to be done and payment for these services without interruption.

If this request is not approved, autopsies and forensic consult exams will not be done and/or payment for autopsy services will be delayed.

## E. Recommendation

It is recommended that Council approve the request for the renewal of the contract with Professional Pathology Services, PC and that funds be encumbered in the amount of $\$ 270,000.00$ for autopsy services.

Recommended by: Coroner Gary Watts Department: Coroner-2400 Date: $\underline{6 / 26 / 07}$

## F. Reviews

## Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Procurement

Reviewed by: Rodolfo Callwood
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Administration

Reviewed by: J. Milton Pope
Date: 7/17/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
[ Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: Recommend approval.

## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: CMCOG Sponsorship Request

## A. Purpose

Council is requested to consider a sponsorship request from the Central Midlands Council of Governments for their 2007 Regional Leadership Award Banquet.

## B. Background / Discussion

During the motion period on July 10, 2007, a motion was made to consider a funding request from the CMCOG for sponsorship of their 2007 Regional Leadership Award Banquet. This year's recipient of the award is Mayor Bob Coble of the City of Columbia. The tentative date is October 11, 2007 at the Columbia Metropolitan Convention Center.

Levels of sponsorship include: Regional Partner (\$500), Bronze Level (\$1,000), Silver Level $(\$ 2,500)$, Gold Level $(\$ 5,000)$, Platinum Level $(\$ 10,000)$.

## C. Financial Impact

The financial impact associated with this request is dependent upon the sponsorship level recommended by the committee and approved by Council. If approved, council will have to determine a funding source for this request.

## D. Alternatives

1. Approve the request to sponsor the CMCOG's 2007 Regional Leadership Award Banquet.
2. Do not approve the request to sponsor CMCOG's 2007 Regional Leadership Award Banquet.

## E. Recommendation

This request is at the discretion of Council.
Recommended by: Council Motion Date: July 10, 2007

## F. Reviews

Finance
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: Approval would require the identification of funds.

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval - Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: Both alternatives are legally sufficient; therefore, this request is at the discretion of County Council. However, I also concur with the Finance Director's comments.

## Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald
Date: 7/18/07

- Recommend Council approval
- Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: This sponsorship is left to the discretion of the Council. If the Council decides to participate, a funding source would have to be identified since this was not a budgeted item. The Council's Official Expense Account would appear to be the most appropriate source.
$\qquad$

We cordially iuvite you to join Central Midlands Council of Governments as we honor the recipient of our 2007 Regional Leadership Award, the Jonorable Bob Coble. Mayor of the City of Columbia.

Mayor Coble will receive the award at a black tie dinne in his honor to be hekd Thursday, October 11, 2007 at 7:00 p.m. at the Columbia Mutropolitan Convention Center. Mayor Coble has bcen unanimously selected by the Regional T cadership Award Committee of C'entral Midlands Council of Govemments to receive this prestigious award, bestowed every two years, is grateful recogation of his efforts to promote and plan for the growth of our rigina and to foster a spirit of cooperation amongst our various local governments and other regional entilies and institutions.

A varicty of sponsorship opportunities for the 2007 Recional Lcadership Award are curcully available:

Platinum Level Sponsorships (includes 8 tahles of 8 ) for $\$ 10,000$;
Gold 1.evel Sponsorships. (includes 4 tables of 8 ) for $\$ 5,000$ :
Sityer Ievel Sponsorships (includes 2 tables of 8) for \$2,500;
Bıonze Level Sponsorships (includes 1 table of 8 ) for $\$ 1,000$;
Regicnal Partner sponsorship (includes 4 tickers) for $\$ 500$.
Piease retarn the enclosed form by July 1, 2007, along: with payment to the address located on the reservatio , form. If you have any questions, pleasc conlact Ben Mauldin or Andy Simmons; T:1: (803) 376-5390. Please make checks pavable to CMCOG.

W'e hope that you will join us as we bestow the Central Midlands region's noost prestigious award on an outstanding ambassador for our region.

Sincerely yours,

E.W. Cromartic, II

Chairman, Regional Lcadership
$\wedge$ watd Committee
Inmediate Fast Chairman, CMCOG

R. David Brown

Chuirman, CMCO (;

# Richland County Council Request of Action 

Subject: City of Columbia Sponsorship Request

## A. Purpose

Council is requested to consider a sponsorship request from the City of Columbia for the Columbia Climate Protection Action Committee's "Green is Good for Business Conference."

## B. Background / Discussion

The Administrator's Office received a letter from councilwoman Anne Sinclair requesting the county's sponsorship of the city's "Green is Good for Business Conference." (See attached) The conference is scheduled to be held on September 24, 2007 at the Columbia Metropolitan Convention Center.

Levels of sponsorship include: Patron Sponsor (\$500), Bronze Level $(\$ 1,500)$, Silver Level $(\$ 2,500)$, Gold Level / Presenting Sponsor $(\$ 5,000)$. The city is requesting sponsorship in the amount of \$2,500.

## C. Financial Impact

The financial impact associated with this request is dependent upon the sponsorship level recommended by the committee and approved by Council. If approved, council will have to determine a funding source for this request.
D. Alternatives

1. Approve the request to sponsor the City of Columbia's "Green is Good for Business Conference."
2. Do not approve the request to sponsor City of Columbia's "Green is Good for Business Conference."

## E. Recommendation

This request is at the discretion of Council.

Recommended by: $\underline{\text { Staff } \quad \text { Department: } \underline{\text { Administration }} \quad \text { Date: July 16, } 2007}$

## F. Reviews

## Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: No recommendation included

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: Both alternatives are legally sufficient; therefore, this request is at the discretion of County Council. In addition, if approved, council will have to determine a funding source for this request.

## Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald
Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: This sponsorship is left to the discretion of the Council. If the Council decides to participate, a funding source would have to be identified since this was not a budgeted item. The Council's Official Expense Account would appear to be the most appropriate source.

CITY of COLUMBIA
Climate Protection Action Committee

July 16, 2007
Richland County Administration
ATTN: Joe Cronin
2020 Hampton Street
PO Box 192
Columbia, SC 29202
Dear Mr. Cronin,
Thank you for your service on the Climate Protection Action Committee. As you know, the committee is organizing the Green is Good for Business Conference. Our goal is to attract businesses to educate them on our local challenges and to share strategies that they can implement to reduce their carbon footprint. This letter is to request sponsorship by Richland County for this conference. I understand from Chairman McEachern, that you serve as the point person for this process.

The Conference will be Monday, September 24, 2007 from 8 a.m. to $5: 30$ p.m. at the Columbia Convention Center, 1101 Lincoln Street, Columbia, South Carolina. Our local businesses are becoming known for their creative air pollution reduction, conservation, and energy efficiency strategies. With the support of the Richland County, the Columbia Metropolitan Region will continue leading this business-friendly green movement.

As a corporate sponsor, the county will be actively promoted in the community as a supporter of a progressive business climate that creates a win-win situation for area businesses, the community, and the environment. The county's sponsorship will be advertised in print media, in our City Scape newsletter, in our Inside Columbia electronic newsletter, on the CPAC website, and in all conference publicity. In addition, the county will have an opportunity to exhibit at the conference on its current activities, the people who staff the county's booth will be able to attend at no charge, and all county council members will be invited to attend at no cost. We hope that the county will agree to a $\$ 2,500$ level sponsorship.

For more details about the Green is Good for Business Climate Protection Action Conference, please see the enclosed materials, visit our website at www.columbiasc.net and click on the CPAC link, or give me a call at 256-9700 ext. 22. We appreciate your consideration of this sponsorship request and look forward to speaking with you about what this sponsorship can do for your business.

Sincerely,
Anne Sinclair
Columbia City Council


# CITY of COLUMBIA Climate Protection Action Committee 

presents<br>Inaugural Green is Good for Business<br>Climate Protection Action Conference

Monday, September 24, 2007
8 a.m. to 3 p.m.
Columbia Convention Center
1101 Lincoln Street | Columbia, SC 29201

Business leaders increasingly are asked to respond to growing concerns about air pollution, climate change and energy efficiency. The key to success may depend on how the challenges are approached. Be a part of the inaugural Green is Good for Business Climate Protection Conference and find out how to:

- save money by conserving resources
- create and enhance competitive advantage and reputation
- open up new or niche markets
- present positive opportunities for marketing, improve public relations, and demonstrate a commitment to corporate responsibility.
- Join the Green Business Initiative

Also find out how being green can help to build a stronger and better culture for the future within your organization positioning your organization to tap into a young workforce that brings more energy and passion to their jobs knowing they work for a company whose values are similar to their own.

CITY of COLUMBIA
Climate Protection Action Committee


## Sponsorship: Invitation and Guidelines

The Green is Good for Business Climate Protection Action Conference organizers invite businesses and organizations that support our objectives to join us in helping to produce this unique business-oriented event.

Event sponsorship is a mutually beneficial partnership. Sponsorship provides "green" businesses, organizations, products, and services with enhanced visibility among those who attend the conference as well as to a much larger audience before and after the event. At the same time, sponsors provide the cash and in-kind support necessary for us to reach an increasingly broad audience and offer a quality event.

## Who can be a sponsor?

Sponsors can include companies whose products and operations have significantly reduced environmental impacts, particularly those related to energy efficiency or climate change -- and move in the direction of long-term sustainability. Companies with a specific product line or service with significantly reduced environmental impacts are also eligible, as long as the sponsorship features that specific product or service. Government or nonprofit organizations whose objectives are consistent with the Climate Protection Action Conference are also invited to be sponsors. Sponsors must demonstrate a commitment to the Climate Protection Action Conference's goals.

## Sponsorship levels and benefits

Sponsors benefit in many ways, including the acknowledgement of their commitment to a better environment and the association with a widely publicized, positive, community-oriented event. Sponsors receive benefits based on the amount and type of contribution in the following categories:

## Gold/Presenting Sponsor

$\$ 5,000$ or more in cash plus any in kind contribution

- Listed prominently (in alphabetical order with logo) as presenting sponsor in the program event signage/banner, brochure, poster, advertising, and website with description and link.
- One free exhibit space in a prominent location
- Included as a featured sponsor in event press kit; with suggested feature stories


## Silver/Major Sponsor

$\$ 2,500$ or more in cash

- Listed in alphabetical order as a sponsor in the program, brochure, print advertising, event signage, web site, and event press kit.
- Free exhibit space


## Bronze/Gift Sponsor

## $\$ 1,500$ or more in cash

- Listed in alphabetical order as a sponsor in the program.
- Free exhibit space.


## Patron Sponsor

$\$ 500$ or more in cash

- Listed in alphabetical order as a sponsor in the program.
- Free exhibit space


## Sponsor benefit details

- Sponsor names will be featured in all Climate Protection Action Committee Conference produced print materials, web site, and on at least one large banner at the event. The prominence of the listing will increase as sponsorship levels increase. The event program is distributed to all attendees and contains information about the event, living green tips, and exhibitor and workshop contact information. Logos and ads in the program will be presented in black and white. A description of the presenting sponsor will accompany its logo and link on the CPAC website www.COCcpac.com linked from the city of Columbia's website www.columbiasc.net.


## Booth space

One 10'x10' booth space and two chairs will be assigned to sponsors. Additional chairs may be requested for an additional fee of $\$ 50$ per person. Sponsor will be charged the normal booth rate for additional booth space. Major and presenting sponsors have the option to be assigned to the front of the exhibit hall if they prefer. Electrical hookups w ill be available to booth holders. Exhibitor's booth rates begin at 525 for commercial and 975 for non-profits.

All participating organizations and businesses are invited and encouraged to conduct on-going demonstrations throughout the day. Booths must be fully operative by 8:00 a.m. and staffed from 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. Exhibitors are welcomed and encouraged to visit other areas, but asked to please keep one knowledgeable person at your booth at all times.

## Advertising.

All large-format ads ( $5^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ or larger) placed by the Climate Protection Action Conference organizers and will include the logos of major and presenting sponsors. Ads will be placed in local print media reaching thousands of people in the Columbia metropolitan area.

For more information contact:<br>Jim Gambrell, Executive Director City of Columbia Office of Economic Development 1201 Main Street, Suite 250, Columbia, SC 29201<br>803.734.2700 • IGambrell@columbiasc.net or publicworks@columbiasc.net

CITY of COLUMBIA


## Green is Good for Business <br> Climate Protection Action Conference

## Sponsorship Proposal and Agreement for 2007

## Sponsor Information

Name of organization: $\qquad$
Contact person: $\qquad$
Phone: $\qquad$ Fax: $\qquad$
E-mail: $\qquad$
Web site $\qquad$
Mailing address:
Proposed sponsorship is on behalf of (choose one):
$\square$ Entire organization $\quad \square$ Featured product or service (please specify):
Please describe how the organization's operations or products have reduced and/or encourages positive environmental impacts and/or moves in the direction of long-term sustainability.

In what ways is your organization's objectives/mission consistent with the Living Green Expo?

## Sponsorship Level

$\square$ Patron Sponsor (\$500 plus any in-kind contribution
$\square$ Bronze/Gift Sponsor (\$1,500 plus any in-kind contribution
ㅁ Silver/Major Sponsor (\$2,500 cash)
$\square$ Gold/Presenting Sponsor (\$5,000 cash)
$\square \quad I$ am interested in being an exhibitor. Please contact me with more information about securing booth space and/or tickets to the conference.

## Sponsorship Commitments

Cash contribution amount: \$ $\qquad$ (Plus) In-kind contribution value: \$ $\qquad$ Contribution description and basis for value: (Plus) In-kind contribution value: $\$$

[^0]$\square$ Please contact me about special sponsor advertising opportunities.

## Sponsorship Benefits

The Climate Protection Action Conference agrees to provide sponsors and featured products/services with the benefits described in the sponsor guidelines. Additional terms mutually agreed to by both parties:

## Agreement to Commitments Described Above

Sponsor name (please print): $\qquad$ Title: $\qquad$
Sponsor signature:
$\qquad$
Conference Coordinator signature: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
rev.07_10_07_12:46rbg

## Richland County Council Request for Action

Subject: Budget Amendment to Add a \$25.00 Registration Fee to the County's Building Fee<br>Schedule for Manufactured/Mobile Homes

## A. Purpose

County Council is requested to approve a budget amendment to add a $\$ 25.00$ registration fee to the County's Building fee Schedule for manufactured/mobile homes.

## B. Background / Discussion

S.C. House Bill 3456 was enacted into law on June 4, 2007, and created a new Section 6-1150 so as to authorize a local official or land owner to seek to remove and sell or remove and destroy a derelict manufactured/mobile home. If a landowner seeks to have a manufactured or mobile home determined to be derelict so it may be removed from the landowner's property, one of the steps that needs to be taken is for the landowner to apply to the county to have such home inspected and to have the county provide written confirmation that the manufactured/mobile home has been inspected and meets the requirements for removal and disposal. To help defray the County's expense in locating, identifying, and inspecting derelict manufactured and mobile homes, Section 6-1-150 (E) was added to say that a local governing body may impose a registration fee of no more than twenty-five dollars to be paid when a manufactured home or mobile home is registered with the County. The fee is in addition to all other fees and charges relating to a manufactured home or mobile home and may be required to be paid before electrical connection.

## C. Financial Impact

At this time, it is unknown how many times a staff member from the Planning and Development Services Department will be asked to inspect and provide information concerning a derelict manufactured or mobile home, but staff time and expense will be involved to some extent. To offset this, a $\$ 25$ registration fee is being proposed. During FY 2006-2007, approximately 200 manufactured homes were registered with the county. With the addition of a registration fee, revenue would increase to an estimated amount of \$5,000.00.

## D. Alternatives

1. Approve the budget amendment to add a $\$ 25$ registration fee for manufactured $/ \mathrm{mobile}$ homes being registered with the county.
2. Approve a budget amendment for a registration fee of less than $\$ 25$.
3. Do not approve a budget amendment for a manufactured/mobile home registration fee.

## E. Recommendation

It is recommended that County Council approve the budget amendment to add a $\$ 25$ registration fee for manufactured/mobile homes.

Recommended by: Donny Phipps Department: Planning Date: July 6, 2007

## F. Reviews

Finance
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Date: 7/17/07
Comments regarding recommendation:
Legal
Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
Date: 7/17/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: All of the alternatives appear to be legally sufficient; therefore, this request is at the discretion of County Council.

## Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald
Date: 7/17/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation:

## STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. _ - 07HR

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008 GENERAL FUND ANNUAL BUDGET SO AS TO ESTABLISH A MANUFACTURED/MOBILE HOME REGISTRATION FEE OF \$25 PER REGISTRATION TO DEFRAY THE COST OF LOCATION, IDENTIFICAION, AND INSPECTION OF DERELECT MANUFACTURED AND MOBILE HOMES AND TO APPROPRIATE FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 5,000$ ) TO THE GENERAL FUND ANNUAL BUDGET. THIS WILL AUTHORIZE THE CHARGE, COLLECTION, AND DISPOSITION OF THE STATED FEE.

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Constitution and the General Assembly of the State of South Carolina, BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL FOR RICHLAND COUNTY:

SECTION I. The Fiscal Year 2007-2008 General Fund Annual Budget is hereby amended to establish a Manufactured/Mobile Home Registration Fee of $\$ 25$ per registration to defray the cost of location, identification, and inspection of derelict manufactured and mobile homes.

SECTION II. That the amount of five thousand dollars $(\$ 5,000)$ be appropriated to the FY 20072008 General Fund Annual Budget. Therefore, the Fiscal Year 2007-2008 General Fund Annual Budget is hereby amended as follows:

## REVENUE

Revenue appropriated July 1, 2007 as amended:

## Appropriation of Manufactured/Mobile Home Fee:

Total General Fund Revenue as Amended:

## EXPENDITURES

Expenditures appropriated July 1, 2007 as amended:
Increase in Planning and Development Budget:
Total General Fund Expenditures as Amended:
\$126,631,519
$\qquad$
\$126,636,519

SECTION VI. Conflicting Ordinances Repealed. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

SECTION V. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be enforced from and after $\qquad$ , 2007.

RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL

BY:
Joseph McEachern, Chair
ATTEST THIS THE $\qquad$ DAY

OF , 2007

Michielle R. Cannon-Finch<br>Clerk of Council

RICHLAND COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Approved As To LEGAL Form Only No Opinion Rendered As To Content

First Reading:
Second Reading: Public Hearing:
Third Reading:

## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Health Insurance Renewal with CCP

## A. Purpose

Consider renewing the County's current health insurance contract with CCP.

## B. Background / Discussion

$>\quad$ The County has received a $1.21 \%$ increase renewal from Carolina Care Plan (CCP). Based on national and South Carolina average increases in the 2 digits, and the timing, we feel this renewal is the best viable alternative.
$>\quad$ We have requested an RFP to open the competition to other vendors.
$>$ Open enrollment is scheduled for August 1, so a decision needs to be made as soon as possible.
$>\quad \mathrm{CCP}$ has reported they were purchased by MMO (Medical Mutual of Ohio).
$>\quad$ MMO Has announced they are moving jobs to the South Carolina CCP site.
$>\quad \mathrm{MMO}$ reports to have a stable financial situation.
$>\quad$ The co-pay is now $\$ 10$ per visit and is moving to the more common co-pay of \$15.

## C. Financial Impact

Approving the changes as presented will have a $1.21 \%$ increase on health insurance costs for the 2007-2008 plan year.

## D. Alternatives

1. Renew contract as proposed.
2. Don't renew contract, and health insurance will expire on October 1, 2007.
3. Don't renew contract and sign with another company effective October 1, 2007.

## E. Recommendation

Human Resources recommends renewing the CCP contract as presented and proceeding with RFP process for the 2008-2009 plan year.

Submitted by: Dwight Hanna Department: Human Resources Date: $\underline{\text { 7/17/07 }}$

## F. Reviews

Finance
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/17/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation:

## Procurement

Reviewed by: Rodolfo Callwood
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

Recommend Council denial Date: 7/17/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial Recommen Council denial Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial Date: 7/18/07 Recommend Council denial

## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Dental and Life Insurance Renewal with Metlife

## A. Purpose

Consider renewing the County's current dental and life insurance contracts.

## B. Background / Discussion

> The County has received a $2.5 \%$ increase renewal from Metlife for Dental Insurance and a 30\% increase renewal for Basic Life Insurance. Based on the County's extensive lose ratio (claims are approximately equal to twice the premiums paid) for life insurance last year, we feel these rates are more than acceptable and we are confident that we would not get a lower rate renewal from other vendors.
> We have requested an RFP to evaluate Metlife against other vendors, and we will immediately proceed with the RFP for the FY 2008-2009 budget process once Council approves this process.
$>\quad$ Open enrollment is scheduled for August 1 , so a decision needs to be made as soon as possible.

## C. Financial Impact

Approving the changes as presented will have a $2.5 \%$ increase on dental insurance costs and a $30 \%$ increase for basic life insurance for the 2007-2008 plan year.

## D. Alternatives

1. Renew contract as proposed.
2. Don't renew contract, and dental and life insurance will expire on October 1, 2007.
3. Don't renew contract and sign with another company effective October 1, 2007.

## E. Recommendation

Human Resources recommends renewing the Metlife contract as presented and processing with the RFP process for the 20008-2009 plan year.

Submitted by: Dwight Hanna Department: Human Resources Date: $\underline{\text { 7/17/07 }}$

## F. Reviews

Finance
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/17/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation:

## Procurement

Reviewed by: Rodolfo Callwood
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

Recommend Council denial Date: 7/17/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial Date: 7/19/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial

Date: 7/19/07

- Recommend Council denial


## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Anti-Gang Grant Proposal

## A. Purpose

County Council is being requested to provide funding for grant proposals that were not included in the Grant Budget Request for 2007-2008.

## B. Background / Discussion

The Richland County Sheriff's Department has applied for a Project Safe Neighborhoods Anti-Gang initiatives titled "Gang Enforcement Investigations Program" to improve gang investigations and suppression in Richland County. The program will provide funding for 2 full-time Investigators salaries and fringe benefits, automobile, radios, car accessories, laptop computers, training, and uniforms and equipment totaling $\$ 209,942$. There is no match associated with this program.

## C. Financial Impact

When appropriate, use a table. For example:

| Grant Program | Costs | Match |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gang Enforcement Investigations | $\$ 209,942$ |  |
| Total Grant Budget Request | $\$ 209,942$ | $\$ 0$ |

## D. Alternatives

List the alternatives to the situation. There will always be at least two alternatives:

1. Approve the request to fund this program to increase gang investigations in Richland County.
2. Do not approve, forfeit funds, and decrease likelihood for future funding.

## E. Recommendation

It is recommended that Council approve the request to approve grant gang enforcement requests.

Recommended by: Hubert Harrell, Chief Deputy
Department: Sheriff
Date: $\underline{7 / 9 / 07}$

## F. Reviews

Grants
Reviewed by: Audrey Shifflett
Date: 07-16-07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval $\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: This funding opportunity was not available when the FY08 budget was submitted. This grant does not require a cash match. If funded, the grant will support 2 FTEs for the project term.

Finance
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/18/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: Approval does not require match funds however it would still require a budget amendment including three readings and a public hearing since personnel are included. The County currently has an expected budget increase for FY-10 of $\$ 213,868$ due to personnel from grants expected to end. Council approval would increase the County's expected budget impact in FY-10 to $\$ 551,363$ or an increase of $\$ 337,495$.

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval $\square$ Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: Both alternatives are legally sufficient; therefore, this request is at the discretion of County Council.

## Administration

Reviewed by: J. Milton Pope
Date: 7-20-07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval

- Recommend Council denial

Comments regarding recommendation: Recommend approval however this grant does not require that the County retain the grant FTE's at the expiration date of the grant. Furthermore if the Council decided (per the request of the Sheriff's Department) to continue the FTE's (if the grant was not extended) the cost would be $\$ 85,806$ in FY 09 and $\$ 120,128$ in FY 10 (full funding). Based on the present State spending restrictions placed on the County these "new" additional costs would have to be absorbed by the General Fund.

## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: DNA Grants

## A. Purpose

County Council is being requested to provide funding for grant proposals that were not included in the Grant Budget Request for 2007-2008.

## B. Background / Discussion

The Richland County Sheriff's Department has applied for three separate grant applications for the purpose of improving laboratory functions in Richland County. The three grants are:

Solving Cold Cases with DNA provides 1 full-time Investigator salary, fringes, and overtime for 18 months, along with training, DNA testing supplies, contractual services for mitochondrial DNA testing, and a laptop computer. The total cost of the project is $\$ 101,718$. There is no match associated with this program.

Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement provides for the continued employment of 1 full-time Laboratory Technician salary and fringe benefits totaling $\$ 39,995$. There is no match associated with this program.

DNA Backlog Reduction Program provides for the continued employment of 1 full time Laboratory Technician, and 1 new full-time DNA Analyst's salary and fringe benefits, training, DNA supplies, computer, and lab renovations. The program totals $\$ 156,822$ and there is no match associated with the program.
C. Financial Impact

When appropriate, use a table. For example:

| Grant Program | Costs | Match |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Solving Cold Cases with DNA | $\$ 101,718$ |  |
| Paul Coverdell Forensic Science | $\$ 39,995$ |  |
| DNA Backlog Reduction Program | $\$ 156,822$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Grant Budget Request | $\$ 298,535$ | $\$$ |

## D. Alternatives

1. Approve the request to fund these programs and improve DNA services in Richland County.
2. Do not approve, forfeit funds, and decrease likelihood for future funding.

## E. Recommendation

It is recommended that Council approve the request to approve grant DNA requests.
Recommended by: Hubert Harrell, Chief Deputy Department: Sheriff Date: $\underline{7 / 9 / 07}$

## F. Reviews

## Grants

Reviewed by: Audrey Shifflett
Date: 7/16/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval

- Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: To clarify, only the Solving Cold Cases with DNA grant is a new funding opportunity not available at the time of FY08 budget submission. Approving this grant includes one new FTE. The Forensic Science Improvement grant was included in the department's FY08 budget request (as a continuing grant) but their request did not include the FTE. Likewise, the DNA Backlog grant was included in the department's FY08 budget request (also a continuing grant) but the request did not include the FTE. Originally the department planned to roll these positions off the grants in FY08. Approving these two grants includes these 2 FTEs and also a new FTE on the DNA Backlog grant (the DNA Analyst position). No cash match is required. If funded, these grants will support the FTEs for the terms of these projects.

Finance
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/18/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval

- Recommend Council denial

Comments regarding recommendation: Approval does not require match funds however it would still require a budget amendment including three readings and a public hearing since personnel are included. The County currently has an expected budget increase for FY-10 of $\$ 213,868$ due to personnel from grants expected to end. Council approval would increase the County's expected budget impact in FY-10 to $\$ 551,363$ or an increase of $\$ 337,495$.

Legal
Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: Both alternatives are legally sufficient; therefore, this request is at the discretion of County Council.

## Administration

Reviewed by: J. Milton Pope
Date: 07/20/2007
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: Recommend approval however this grant does not require that the County retain the grant FTE's at the expiration date of the grant. Furthermore if the Council decided (per the request of the Sheriff's Department) to continue the FTE's (if the grant was not extended) the cost would be $\$ 98,128$ in FY 09 and $\$ 217,367$ in FY 10 (full funding). Based on the present State
spending restrictions placed on the County these "new" additional costs would have to be absorbed by the General Fund.

# Richland County Council Request of Action 

Subject: Equipment Only Grant Proposals

## A. Purpose

County Council is being requested to provide funding for grant proposals that were not included in the Grant Budget Request for 2007-2008.

## B. Background / Discussion

The Richland County Sheriff's Department has applied for three separate grant applications for the purpose of improving laboratory functions in Richland County. The three grants are:

CEDAP competitive grant provides one piece of equipment out of a list of approved equipment for law enforcement agencies. The Richland County Sheriff's Department applied for surveillance equipment to be used in various enforcement and undercover operations. The award is for the actual piece of equipment, not funds to purchase equipment.

CDV \& Sexual Assault First Responder Program provides equipment for line deputies that will allow them to more thoroughly document domestic violence abuse for the purpose of court proceedings. The program will purchase a data storage server, wood lamps, digital cameras and accessories, office supplies, printer/copiers/scanner, and literature. The program totals $\$ 118,750$ with the grantor providing $75 \%(\$ 89,062)$, and the match of $25 \%(\$ 29,688)$. The match amount of $\$ 29,688$ is needed in order to implement this program.

There is no personnel associated with these programs.
C. Financial Impact

When appropriate, use a table. For example:

| Grant Program | Costs | Match |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| CEDAP Equipment | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| CDV \& Sexual Assault First Responder | $\$ 89,602$ | $\$ 29,688$ |
| Total Grant Budget Request | $\$ 89,602$ | $\$ 29,688$ |

## D. Alternatives

1. Approve the request to fund these programs and improve law enforcement services and investigations in Richland County.
2. Do not approve, forfeit funds, and decrease likelihood for future funding.

## E. Recommendation

It is recommended that Council approve the request to approve grant equipment requests.
Recommended by: Hubert Harrell, Chief Deputy Department: Sheriff Date: 7/9/07

## F. Reviews

## Grants

Reviewed by: Audrey Shifflett
Date: 07/16/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval

- Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: Currently there are matching funds available. These grant opportunities were not available before the FY08 budget was submitted and the grants do not require FTEs.


## Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/17/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: Approval does not require a budget amendment and will use funds included in budget for grant match.

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:
Administration
Reviewed by: J. Milton Pope
Comments regarding recommendation:

Date: $\underline{7 / 18 / 07}$
[ Recommend Council denial
Date: 7/18/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial

Recommend Council

## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Sheriff's Dept. Service Requisitions

## A. Purpose

County Council is requested to approve the issuance of the following requisitions for recurring services as approved in the 2007-2008 budget:

1. Fuelman
Req 3037
\$1,000,000
2. Motorola
Req 3064
\$124,370.88
3. First Vehicle Services
Req 3036
\$125,000.00
B. Background / Discussion

The requested items were requested and approved in the Budget to sustain continuing operations but require specific Council approval.
C. Financial Impact

| Fuelman | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motorola | $\$ 124,370.88$ |
| First Vehicle Services | $\$ 125,000.00$ |
| Total Impact |  |

These items are included in the budget.

## D. Alternatives

1. Approve request to enable continued operations.
2. Do not approve request.

## E. Recommendation

It is requested that Council approve the request.
Recommended by: Hubert Harrell, Chief Deputy Department: Sheriff Date: July 16, 2007

## F. Reviews

Finance
Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers
Date: 7/16/07
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Procurement

Reviewed by: Rodolfo Callwood
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation:

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval
Comments regarding recommendation:

## Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald
$\checkmark$ Recommend Council approval Comments regarding recommendation:

Date: 7/16/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial Date: 7/16/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial

Date: 7/16/07
$\square$ Recommend Council denial
Recomen Council denial

## Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Hospitality Tax Funding for District Projects

## A. Purpose

Council is requested to consider a policy that would give each council member a minimum of $\$ 25,000$ and a maximum of $\$ 50,000$ in undesignated hospitality tax funds for at least one project located within each council district.

## B. Background / Discussion

During second reading of the budget on May 24, 2007, Councilman Joyce Dickerson referred to the A\&F Committee consideration of a policy that would give each council member a minimum of $\$ 25,000$ and a maximum of $\$ 50,000$ in undesignated hospitality tax funds for at least one project located within each council district.

According to the motion, these funds would be used for projects in each council member's district that meet the same requirements under the county promotions competitive grant program, including 501(c)3 status and provision of a general benefit to tourism in Richland County.

The motion also states that funding recommendations made by the district representative would be contingent upon approval by the full council.

## C. Financial Impact

Approval of this request would result in a financial impact of up to $\$ 550,000$ in the county's undesignated hospitality tax fund.

## D. Alternatives

1. Approve a policy that would give each council member a minimum of $\$ 25,000$ and a maximum of $\$ 50,000$ in undesignated hospitality tax funds for at least one project located within each council district
2. Do not approve the request.

## E. Recommendation

This request is at the discretion of County Council.
Referred by: Joyce Dickerson Department: Council Motion Date: 05/24/2007

## F. Reviews

## Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers Date: 6/13/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval $\square$ Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: Recommendation is at the discretion of Council.

## Legal

Reviewed by: Amelia Linder
Date: 6/13/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval $\square$ Recommend Council denial Comments regarding recommendation: Both alternatives are legally sufficient; therefore, this request is at the discretion of County Council.

## Administration

Reviewed by: Tony McDonald
Date: 6/13/07
$\square$ Recommend Council approval
Recommend Council denial
Comments regarding recommendation: This request is left to the discretion of the Council. It should be noted, however, that approval of the request would commit up to $\$ 550,000$ of the undesignated Hospitality Tax funds. Much of the undesignated funds will eventually be applied toward capital projects, such as the recreation complex; therefore, appropriation of the undesignated funds may limit the extent of capital projects that can be accomplished in the future.

# Accountability of Outside Agencies Funded by Richland County Verbatim Minutes <br> June 5, 2007 

Mr. Malinowsky: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion, in an effort for complete accountability of out taxpayer's money, that I move that any agency submitting a request for funding in the future must...[TAPED ENDED] It's calling for accountability of funds. You have submit...

Ms. Dickerson: What funds?
Mr. Malinowski: If you are an outside agency requesting funding you must submit a budget with that request and then every six months submit a ledger sheet to show how you have used those funds.

Mr. Jeter: Very good.
Mr. Jackson: Second.
Mr. McEachern: Moved and properly seconded.
Mr. Pearce: Question.
Mr. McEachern: Yes, Mr. Pearce.
Mr. Pearce: This would include all A-Tax, H-Tax, Discretionary Grants, contractual, every outside agency.

Mr. Malinowski: Every outside agency.
Mr. Jeter: Why don't we put a dollar amount on that?
Ms. Smith: Send it to committee.
Ms. Dickerson: Send it to committee.
Mr. Jeter: Don't fight now.
Mr. Pearce: I'm not fighting. I just asked a question.
Ms. Smith: Send it to A\&F Committee.
Ms. Dickerson: It's dead.

Ms. Scott: Move for adjournment.
Mr. McEachern: That would go to Administration \& Finance Committee. I'll let Mr. Malinowski finish.

Mr. Malinowski: That's going to A\&F Committee? Okay.

# STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ) COUNTY OF RICHLAND ) <br> <br> A RESOLUTION OF THE <br> <br> A RESOLUTION OF THE RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL 

 RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL}

## A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A POLICY ON MUNICIPAL INCORPORATION

WHEREAS, the South Carolina Code of Laws, §5-1-10 et seq., contemplates the incorporation of municipalities for the purpose of providing higher levels of services to the citizens therein; and

WHEREAS, municipalities contain land use patterns characterized by urban commercial centers and higher density residential neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, Richland County has experienced growth since 1990 that has led to public discussion of the creation of additional municipalities; and

WHEREAS, some citizens of Richland County have begun to explore the possibility of municipal incorporation with dependency on Richland County for the continued delivery of certain essential services; and

WHEREAS, §5-1-30 (6) of the South Carolina Code of Laws requires cities to provide three of nine expressed services, some of which are not currently provided by Richland County; and

WHEREAS, municipal incorporation by any area in Richland County would reduce the Business License Tax and future Accommodations and Hospitality Tax revenues; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon Richland County Council to prescribe a policy under what circumstances the County will facilitate municipal incorporation by contracting to provide any of the nine statutorily required services;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Richland County Council affirms that the primary purpose of municipal incorporation is to provide enhanced or additional services for its municipal citizens; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Richland County Council will support municipal incorporation of unincorporated areas of Richland County by entering into discussions to develop intergovernmental agreements to provide agreed upon services when the proposed incorporation can be demonstrated to:

- Develop an urbanized commercial district with adjacent higher density residential areas
- Provide parks and recreation
- Plan for sidewalks
- Provide a higher level of law enforcement with a municipal police force
- Be responsible for garbage and yard debris pick-up and disposal
- Be responsible for maintenance of existing county roads within the proposed municipal boundaries

Such discussions, however, shall not guarantee the execution of any agreement. If the proposed incorporation is primarily motivated by resistance to annexation by an existing municipality and/or the desire to preserve the character of existing communities, Richland County will work with the affected parties to develop a strategy to further those goals and discuss intergovernmental agreements to assist in accomplishing such goals.

ADOPTED THIS $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2007.

Joseph McEachern, Chair<br>Richland County Council

ATTEST this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2007

Michielle Cannon-Finch
Clerk of Council


[^0]:    Describe how you will help promote the Climate Protection Action Conference:

