

RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL

SOUTH CAROLINA

DIRT ROAD AD HOC COMMITTEE

March 17, 2015
2:00 PM
Admin Conference Room

1. **Call to Order: Julie-Ann Dixon, Chair**
2. **Dirt Road Paving Contracts Update**
 - a. **Package B Dirt Road Paving Contract**
 - b. **Package C Dirt Road Paving Contract**
 - c. **Package D Dirt Road Paving Contract**
 - d. **Package E Dirt Road Paving Contract**
3. **Public Works Drainage Item**
4. **Motion: Exploration and development of a "Pave-In-Place" ordinance**
5. **Connectivity Paving**
6. **Dirt Road Paving Team – Base Contract**
7. **Other Business**
8. **Adjournment**



Committee Members

Julie-Ann Dixon, Chair
District Nine

Bill Malinowski
District One

Torrey Rush
District Seven

Kelvin E. Washington, Sr.
District Ten



2. Dirt Road Paving Contracts Update

a. Package B

Roads: Peafowl Drive, Prestley Drive, Ted Street, Harold Street, India Street, Pilgrim Road, Tammy Drive, Ethels Avenue, Townsend Street, and William Duffie Road.

Contract Information:

- Notice to Proceed to Lane Construction: Issued June 10, 2014
- Contract Duration: 150 days from notice to proceed
- Original Contract completion date: Fall 2014

Path Forward:

All Package B Roads have been paved except for William Duffie Road. Installation of new waterline is complete. Contractor has to wait on old waterline to dry before they can restart work on William Duffie Road.

b. Package C

Roads: Cedar Grove Road, Tiger Paw Lane, Cheek Street, Clayton Street, Griggs Street, Polk Street, Sarah Street, Christy Creek Lane, Annie Entzminger, Cliff Anderson Road, Winterwood Court, Zachary Lane.

Contract Information:

- Notice to Proceed to Eagle Construction: Issued July 3rd, 2014
- Original Contract Completion Date: Fall 2014

Path Forward:

All Package C Roads have been paved. Contractor is currently addressing punch list items before contract can be closed out.

c. Package D

Roads: Overlook Drive, Dunes Point, Boylston Road

Contract Information:

- Council contract extension to CECS to design Boylston and Overlook: April 15, 2014



- Notice to Proceed to Lane Construction: August 13, 2014
- Contract Duration: 150 days from Notice to Proceed
- Original Contract Completion Date: Winter 2014 - 2015

Path Forward:

All Package D Roads have been paved except a portion of Overlook Drive. Contractor is currently in the process of completing the paving on Overlook Drive.

d. Package E

Roads: Pleasant Grove Lane, PR Webber Road, Simon Weston Road, Sumpter Road

Contract Information:

- Notice to Proceed to CR Jackson Contractors: January 22, 2015
- Contract Completion Date: Summer 2015

Path Forward:

Contractor is currently performing clearing and grading operations on Sumpter Road, Pleasant Grove Lane and PR Webber Road.

3. Public Works Drainage Item

Discussion Points:

Included in the November 18, 2014 Council agenda was an Approval of Consent Items on the S. Scott Rd. Drainage Project which was forwarded to this committee. Supporting documentation is attached. It is anticipated this discussion will be led by Public Works.

Action Items:

What action does the Committee recommend?

4. Motion: Exploration and development of a "Pave-In-Place" ordinance

Discussion Points:

Included in the March 3, 2015 Council agenda was a motion from Mr. Washington which was forwarded to this committee: "Have the Richland County Transportation



Department explore the development of a "Pave-In-Place" ordinance to be included in the Penny Sales Tax Program."

Action Items:

What action does the Committee recommend?

5. Connectivity Paving

Discussion Points:

Within Richland County's existing network of roads, as you are aware, there exists an extensive network of interconnecting dirt roads. This network creates numerous potential for dirt roads, which are eligible for paving in years 1 and 2, being only accessible from roads that are either projected to be funded in years 3 and 4, or roads that are projected to be unfunded under the current program, thus requiring motorists to travel from paved-to dirt-to newly paved road. For example, Road A is ranked #2 on the years 1 and 2 funded list. Road B is #60 on the unfunded list, and the only way to access Road A is by using Road B. When Road A is paved, motorists will be required to travel from an existing paved road to an existing dirt road (Road B) in order to reach the newly paved dirt road (Road A).

Staff recommends at a minimum paving a segment of identified roads that matches the description of Road B in order to provide a continuous network of paved roads.

Action Items:

What is the Committee recommendation regarding allowing staff to pave portions of these identified "connecting" roads that are outside the current schedule or projected funding of the current program, thus creating a continuous network of paved roads?

6. Dirt Road Paving Team - Base Contract

7. Other Business

Richland County Council Request of Action

Subject: Department of Public Works: S. Scott Rd. Drainage Project

A. Purpose

County Council is requested to approve funding for the S. Scott Rd. Drainage Project (project).

B. Background / Discussion

A service request was received in July 2013 regarding the flooding of S. Scott Rd. and the surrounding properties. There is an existing storm drainage pipe that runs from 136 S. Scott Rd. along the edge of the road to the intersection with S. Cedar Creek Rd. However, the existing inverts of the pipe do not allow the stormwater to drain away from the road properly.

In August 2013, a drainage project (Phase I) was completed. Richland County installed a new storm drainage line to carry the stormwater away from the road to a depressed area at the rear of 159 S. Scott Rd. This was an initial fix to alleviate the flooding.

To completely alleviate all of the flooding in the area, a new ditch will need to be constructed from this depressed area down to an existing ditch that starts at the rear of 2487 S. Cedar Creek Rd. (TMS # 32400-07-23) and drains to a culvert crossing under S. Cedar Creek Rd. S. Cedar Creek Rd. is maintained by the South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT). This existing ditch is relatively flat and has several low points that collect stormwater.

This project (Phase II) involves constructing a new ditch from the depressed area at the rear of 159 S. Scott Rd. down to the existing ditch at the rear of 2487 S. Cedar Creek Rd. The existing ditch will be redefined to remove the low points and provide an appropriate slope to promote positive drainage. In order to complete the project, the culvert under S. Cedar Creek Rd., which is currently a 24" RCP pipe, would need to be upsized to dual 48" RCP pipes. This will require an encroachment permit from the SCDOT. A sketch of the proposed project and a map of S. Scott Rd. are attached.

If this project is not completed, the accumulation of standing water and incidences of flooding at the rear of several properties along S. Scott Rd. will continue.

C. Legislative / Chronological History

This is a staff-initiated request. Therefore, there is no legislative history. This project originated from a service request that was received in July 2013. The County initially installed a new storm drainage line from the road to the rear of 159 S. Scott Rd. to alleviate flooding in the road and along 132 S. Scott Rd.

- Service Request received in July 2013
- Phase I Design completed in July 2013
- Phase I Work performed in August 2013
- Phase II Design completed in November 2013

D. Financial Impact

The cost estimate to complete this project has been prepared and it exceeds \$5,000. Funding for this project will come from the Stormwater Division's budget.

E. Alternatives

1. Approve the request to fund the S. Scott Rd. Drainage Project.

2. Do not approve the request to fund the S. Scott Rd. Drainage Project.

F. Recommendation

It is recommended that Council approve the request to fund the S. Scott Rd. Drainage Project.

Recommended by: Ismail Ozbek

Department: Public Works

Date: 9-2-14

G. Reviews

(Please replace the appropriate box with a ✓ and then support your recommendation in the Comments section before routing on. Thank you!)

Please be specific in your recommendation. While “Council Discretion” may be appropriate at times, it is recommended that Staff provide Council with a professional recommendation of approval or denial, and justification for that recommendation, as often as possible.

Finance

Reviewed by: Daniel Driggers

Date: 10/14/14

Recommend Council approval

Recommend Council denial

Comments regarding recommendation:

Legal

Reviewed by: Elizabeth McLean

Date: 10/14/14

Recommend Council approval

Recommend Council denial

Comments regarding recommendation: Policy decision left to Council’s discretion.

Administration

Reviewed by: Sparty Hammett

Date: 10/20/14

Recommend Council approval

Recommend Council denial

Comments regarding recommendation:

**S. SCOTT ROAD
DRAINAGE PROJECT**
SCALE: 1" = 200'

